# VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF MINES, MINERALS AND ENERGY VIRGINIA GAS AND OIL BOARD HEARING

Tuesday, June 20, 2017

Lebanon, Virginia

# **BOARD MEMBERS:**

Bill Harris—Public Member

Donnie Rife—Public Member

Mary Quillen—Public Member

Rita Surratt—Public Member

#### **APPEARANCES:**

Bradley Lambert—Chairman of the Virginia Gas & Oil Board

Rick Cooper—Director of the Division of Gas & Oil and Principal Executive to the Staff of the Board

Sarah Gilmer—Staff Member of the Division of Gas & Oil

Sally Ketron—Staff Member of the Division of Gas & Oil

Paul Kugelman, Jr.—Senior Assistant Attorney General

Prepared by: Margaret Linford

# **Agenda Items**

<u>Item Number</u>	<u>Docket Number</u>		<b>Page</b>
1	Public Comments		1
2	VGOB-92-0721-0240-08	(Approved)	2
3	VGOB-93-0622-0379-01	(Continued)	5
4	VGOB-94-1024-0476-05	(Approved)	6
5	VGOB-02-0917-1072-04	(Approved)	10
6	VGOB-17-0620-4125	(Approved)	18
7	VGOB-17-0620-4126	(Approved)	22
8	VGOB-17-0620-4127	(Approved)	29
9	VGOB-06-0516-1641-01	(Approved)	36
10	VGOB-17-0620-4128	(Approved)	42
11	VGOB-17-0620-4129	(Approved)	46
12	VGOB-17-0620-4130	(Approved)	48
13	VGOB-17-0620-4131	(Approved)	51
14	VGOB-17-0620-4132	(Approved)	55
15	VGOB-17-0620-4133	(Approved)	59
16	Board & Division Activities from the Staff	(Approved)	63
	- No W9 holding account report.		
	- Escrow disbursement update.		
	- Yellow Poplar update.		
	- Motion approved to allow DGO Staff to process disbursement petitions for Yellow Poplar.		
17	Board Review of May 2017 Minutes	(Approved)	67

- **Bradley Lambert:** Okay, ladies and gentlemen, it's now after 9:00 and it's time to begin our
- 2 proceedings, this morning. First off, I'd ask, if you have any cell phones or other communication
- 3 device, would you please put those on silent or turn those off? These proceedings are being
- 4 recorded and we need to be able to hear the testimony and what discussion goes on, with the
- 5 Board. First on our agenda, this morning, let me have the Board introduce themselves. I'll begin
- 6 with Ms. Surratt.
- **Rita Surratt:** I'm Rita Surratt, public member from Dickenson County.
- **Paul Kugelman:** Paul Kugelman, with the Virginia Attorney General's Office.
- **Bradley Lambert:** I'm Butch Lambert, with the Department of Mines, Minerals and Energy.
- **Donnie Rife:** Donnie Rife, public member with Dickenson County.
- **Bill Harris:** I'm Bill Harris, a public member. I'm from Wise County.
- 12 Mary Quillen: Mary Quillen, public member.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Okay. Thank you

#### 14 <u>Item Number 1</u>

- **Bradley Lambert:** Next, on our docket is the Board will now receive public comments. I have,
- signed up for public comments, this morning, Ms. Judith Musick.
- **Judith Musick:** I was told, after I signed in, that you would not address the issues that I had, for
- the Board, today, so I guess we're going to have to skip that.
- **Rick Cooper:** We'll take care of that, Mr. Lambert. She's made an appointment with Ms.
- 20 Gilmer, to talk about some of her issues.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Okay. Is that okay?
- **Judith Musick:** That's fine.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Okay. Thank you, Ms. Musick. Ms. Evelyn Roe?
- **Evelyn Roe:** Same thing.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Same thing? Okay. Thank you.

# <u>Item Number 2</u>

- 2 **Bradley Lambert:** The next item on the agenda is Item Number 2. A petition from CNX Gas
- 3 Company, LLC, for (1) disbursement of escrowed funds heretofore deposited with the Board's
- 4 Escrow Agent, attributable to Tract 5, as depicted upon the annexed table. This is Docket
- 5 Number VGOB-92-0721-0240-08. All parties wishing to testify please come forward.
- 6 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- 7 **Bradley Lambert:** Good morning.
- 8 Sarah Gilmer: Do you swear and affirm that your testimony is the truth, the whole truth and
- 9 nothing but the truth?
- 10 **Anita Duty:** Yes.

1

- 11 **Bradley Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Swartz.
- 12 Mark Swartz: Thank you. Anita, would you state your name for us, please?
- 13 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- 14 **Mark Swartz:** Who do you work for?
- 15 Anita Duty: CNX Land, LLC.
- 16 Mark Swartz: This first petition, today, that we have, concerns Unit W20. Correct?
- 17 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 18 **Mark Swartz:** And, it is a petition for disbursement?
- 19 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 20 Mark Swartz: The reason for a request for disbursement is what?
- 21 **Anita Duty:** A court order.
- 22 Mark Swartz: Have you prepared a Table 1, which would give the Escrow Agent, the Board
- could use to give the Escrow Agent instructions, as to how the disbursement is to be made?
- 24 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 25 Mark Swartz: And, that's at Page 5, I think, of the PDF?
- 26 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 27 Mark Swartz: And, this is a percentage. Right?

- 1 Anita Duty: It is.
- 2 Mark Swartz: And, it's a pretty easy percentage.
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: It's everything.
- 5 **Anita Duty:** 100%, yes.
- 6 **Mark Swartz:** And, who is to receive the disbursement?
- 7 **Anita Duty:** It's the W.S. Ellis Estate, in care of James Huffman, his agent.
- 8 Mark Swartz: And, have you provided an address for him?
- 9 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 10 Mark Swartz: And, the Escrow Agent should be directed to disburse 100% of the balance on
- hand, with regard to Unit W20, to that person and, then, to close the account?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 13 Mark Swartz: Okay.
- 14 **Anita Duty:** The payment will actually be sent to Shea Cook.
- 15 Mark Swartz: Okay. I didn't see that, in here, unless it's in the order.
- 16 **Anita Duty:** It's in the affidavit. I'll get it loaded.
- 17 **Mark Swartz:** Okay.
- 18 **Anita Duty:** It goes to Shea Cook.
- 19 Mark Swartz: Not payable to him.
- 20 Anita Duty: Not payable to him, just mailed to him. Yes.
- 21 Mark Swartz: And, you will upload the affidavit, on that?
- 22 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 23 Mark Swartz: Okay. And, that's an affidavit that Huffman signed?
- 24 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 25 **Mark Swartz:** On behalf of the estate?

- 1 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 2 Mark Swartz: On this one, we have, obviously, no need for continuing escrow, so Exhibit E
- 3 simply says we don't need to escrow. Then, you've provided a revised Exhibit EE. Correct?
- 4 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 5 Mark Swartz: With regard to payments, going forward?
- 6 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: Then, we have an Exhibit J, which is the reconciliation. Correct?
- 8 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 9 Mark Swartz: In the reconciliation, did you undertake to locate all of the payments that the
- operator had made into escrow and, then, look for a corresponding deposit?
- 11 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 12 <u>Mark Swartz:</u> Were there some problems?
- 13 Anita Duty: There were.
- 14 Mark Swartz: Have you highlighted those?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- Mark Swartz: Basically, they appear to be in, roughly, the first half of 1995, going into
- 17 August?
- 18 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 19 Mark Swartz: There are some differences. Other than that, it looks like those were the only
- 20 issues identified?
- 21 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 22 Mark Swartz: Then, you did a reconciliation, where you calculated a balance. You estimated a
- balance and you compared that to the bank balance. Right?
- 24 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 25 Mark Swartz: And, the bank balance, in comparison, date you were using, was the end of
- 26 March 2017?
- 27 **Anita Duty:** Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: And, what was the difference?
- 2 **Anita Duty:** First Bank and Trust is showing \$1,513.87 more.
- 3 Mark Swartz: Than you were?
- 4 Anita Duty: Than our calculations.
- 5 Mark Swartz: And, you've attached a copy of the court order pertaining to this?
- 6 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: I believe that's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
- 8 **Bradley Lambert:** Any questions from the Board? [No response] Do you have anything
- 9 further, Mr. Swartz?
- 10 Mark Swartz: I do not.
- 11 **Bradley Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- 12 **Donnie Rife:** Motion made for approval, Mr. Chair.
- 13 Bill Harris: Second.
- 14 **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? [No
- response All in favor signify by saying yes.
- 16 **Board:** Yes.
- 17 **Bradley Lambert:** Opposed no. [No response] Thank you, Mr. Swartz. That is approved.
- 18 Mark Swartz: Thank you.

# 19 <u>Item Number 3</u>

- 20 **Bradley Lambert:** We're calling Docket Item Number 3. A petition from CNX Gas Company,
- 21 LLC, for (1) disbursement from escrow regarding Tract 1A; and (2) dismissal of surface coal
- owners. Docket Number VGOB-93-0622-0379-01. I understand, from Mr. Cooper, that you've
- 23 requested this to be continued until July?
- 24 Mark Swartz: Right. We filed this and served it and, then, I received a call from Attorney Don
- 25 McLaughlin and Attorney Ben Street. They both were requesting a continuance. I checked with
- Tom Pruitt, who represents H-W Harrison Wyatt, who would be the primary recipient, if it works
- out for them. They did not have an objection to a month, so I said I would ask for that and I
- 28 thought you might accommodate them.

- 1 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay. We'll continue that until July.
- 2 Mark Swartz: Great.
- 3 **Bradley Lambert:** Mr. Cooper, do we need to take a recess, while business is done, up here?
- 4 **Rick Cooper:** No, we can wait. We can take the break after CNX has got a couple of issues to
- 5 resolve before EnerVest actually comes forward.
- 6 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay. Thank you.

#### 7 Item Number 4

- 8 **Bradley Lambert:** We're calling Docket Item Number 4. A petition from CNX Gas Company,
- 9 LLC, for (1) disbursement of escrowed funds heretofore deposited with the Board's Escrow
- Agent, attributable to Tract 3, and a portion of Tracts 5 & 8, as depicted upon the annexed table;
- 11 (2) authorization to begin paying royalties directly to the parties listed in the petition; and (3)
- dismissal of coal owner. This is Docket Number VGOB-94-1024-0476-05. All parties wishing
- to testify please come forward.
- 14 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- 15 **Bradley Lambert:** You may continue, Mr. Swartz.
- 16 Mark Swartz: Thank you. Anita, state your name for us, again.
- 17 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- 18 Mark Swartz: And, you're here on behalf of CNX Gas Company, LLC?
- 19 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 20 Mark Swartz: And, this is a petition for disbursement?
- 21 Anita Duty: It is.
- 22 Mark Swartz: From the escrow account for Unit T16?
- 23 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 24 Mark Swartz: And, the reason, here, I think, is actually some gas claimants, under the House
- 25 Bill?
- 26 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 27 Mark Swartz: And, you've given notice, previously, to the coal owner, on the other side?

- 1 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 2 Mark Swartz: And, did not receive any agreement or evidence of a lawsuit, back?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Correct.
- 4 Mark Swartz: And, that notice, actually, was given quite some time ago. I think, in September
- 5 2015.
- 6 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: To Harrison-Wyatt?
- 8 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 9 Mark Swartz: Have you prepared a Table 1, listing the folks who are to receive the proposed
- 10 distribution?
- 11 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 12 Mark Swartz: That is at PDF Page 6, I think. We're talking about, it looks like, three tracts--3,
- 13 5, & 8.
- 14 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 15 Mark Swartz: Okay. For each tract and each disbursement, have you supplied the Board with
- the name of the person or company who is to receive the disbursement?
- 17 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 18 Mark Swartz: And, for each person or company, have you provided an address?
- 19 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 20 Mark Swartz: And, this is a percentage disbursement. Correct?
- 21 Anita Duty: It is.
- 22 Mark Swartz: Have you, for each person or company, stated a percentage that the Escrow
- 23 Agent should use, in calculating the dollars?
- 24 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 25 Mark Swartz: And, the percentage that the Escrow Agent should use is in the second column
- from the right-hand side of the table?
- 27 **Anita Duty:** It is.

- 1 Mark Swartz: And, the Escrow Agent should be directed to apply that percentage to the
- 2 balance on hand, at the time the disbursement is made, and that will generate the correct amount?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: And, you've provided the Board, at the foot of Table 1, with the wells that have
- 5 contributed to the escrow account?
- 6 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: You provided the Board with a revised Exhibit E because there will still be an
- 8 escrow requirement?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 10 Mark Swartz: And, you have also provided a revised Exhibit EE, showing how payments are to
- be made or disbursed, directly, going forward?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 13 Mark Swartz: Then, we have an Exhibit J. Right?
- 14 **Anita Duty:** We do.
- 15 Mark Swartz: Once again, we've got some issues, there, that you've highlighted?
- 16 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 17 Mark Swartz: Did you, in doing your reconciliation, identify all checks that the operator had
- 18 paid?
- 19 **Anita Duty:** We did.
- 20 Mark Swartz: And look for deposits?
- 21 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 22 Mark Swartz: Obviously, there were some differences, here.
- 23 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 24 Mark Swartz: Once again, we've got some early on, '95, '96. Then, you've got some
- 25 differences in 2002, which you, apparently, were able to resolve?
- 26 **Anita Duty:** We were.
- 27 Mark Swartz: And, those are in brackets. They're not highlighted?

- 1 **Anita Duty:** Right.
- 2 Mark Swartz: You got to the bottom of that and squared that away. Then, we come to the end
- 3 and you did your calculation?
- 4 **Anita Duty:** We did.
- 5 Mark Swartz: When you compared your calculated balance total to the March 2017 First Bank
- 6 balance, there was a difference. What was that?
- 7 Anita Duty: The bank is showing \$6.33 less than our calculation.
- 8 Mark Swartz: You're requesting that Harrison-Wyatt be dismissed, with regard to this unit,
- 9 with regard to these folks, as not having produced an agreement or a lawsuit?
- 10 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 11 Mark Swartz: That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
- 12 **Bradley Lambert:** Any questions from the Board?
- 13 **Bill Harris:** Mr. Chairman, let me just ask a quick question. Back in your Table 1, I noticed
- toward the end, especially.... I guess that's because of the small size of Tract 8. I notice some
- folks are .03 is their pay-out, a lot of those. Do you all just, actually, write the check for .03, or
- 16 do you...?
- 17 Anita Duty: The Escrow Agent does. Yes.
- 18 **Bill Harris:** Okay. I was just curious. I thought you all might bump it up to \$1.
- 19 **Mark Swartz:** Only if the Board is willing to kick in the \$.97.
- 20 <u>Bill Harris:</u> I understand. Thank you. I just was curious.
- 21 Mark Swartz: There is some limitation on our obligation to cut a royalty check, until the
- 22 royalty reaches a certain amount.
- 23 **Bill Harris:** I wondered about that.
- 24 Mark Swartz: That is a limiting factor, if we're paying people, directly, but with regard to
- escrow, no. They zero it out. So, that really means that.
- 26 **Bill Harris:** Thank you.
- 27 **Bradley Lambert:** Any other questions? [No response] Do I have a motion?
- 28 **Donnie Rife:** Motion made for approval, Mr. Chair.

- 1 **Bill Harris:** I'll second that.
- 2 **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and a second. Any further discussion? [No response] All in
- 3 favor signify by saying yes.
- 4 **Board:** Yes.
- 5 **Bradley Lambert:** Opposed no. [No response] Thank you, Mr. Swartz. That one is approved.
- 6 Mark Swartz: Thank you.

#### 7 Item Number 5

- 8 **Bradley Lambert:** We're calling Docket Item Number 5. A petition from CNX Gas Company,
- 9 LLC, for (1) disbursement of escrowed funds attributable to royalty interest only, heretofore
- deposited with the Board's Escrow Agent, attributable to Tracts 2B and 4C, as depicted upon the
- annexed table; and (2) authorization to begin paying royalties directly to the parties listed in the
- petition. Docket Number VGOB-02-0917-1072-04. All parties wishing to testify please come
- 13 forward.
- 14 Mark Swartz: Anita, would you state your name for us, please?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- 16 Mark Swartz: This is another petition for disbursement. Correct?
- 17 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 18 Mark Swartz: And, this pertains to the escrow account that has been maintained for Unit
- 19 BA110?
- 20 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 21 Mark Swartz: You stated that the reason for the disbursement request, here, is gas claimants,
- under the House Bill?
- 23 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 24 Mark Swartz: And, you've previously provided notice to the conflicting coal owners, that they
- 25 need to come forward, if they have a grievance or if they've started a lawsuit and provide
- evidence of that?
- 27 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 28 **Mark Swartz:** Have they done that?

- 1 **Anita Duty:** They have not.
- 2 Mark Swartz: So, we're looking at a disbursement, the right to pay, directly, and then a
- 3 dismissal of Buckhorn?
- 4 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 5 **Mark Swartz:** We have a Table 1, I assume. Right?
- 6 Anita Duty: We do.
- 7 Mark Swartz: That is at PDF Page 6. The recipient from both tracts is Howard Russell Dye.
- 8 Correct?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 10 Mark Swartz: And, you've provided his address?
- 11 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 12 Mark Swartz: And, you have provided percentages that pertain to each tract?
- 13 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 14 Mark Swartz: But, basically, Mr. Dye is going to receive 100% of the balance on hand?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** He is and the account will be closed.
- 16 Mark Swartz: And the account will be closed, as is indicated by the Exhibit E that follows.
- 17 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 18 Mark Swartz: So, the Escrow Agent, essentially, should be directed to disburse 100% of the
- 19 money to Mr. Dye.
- 20 **Anita Duty:** Yes, the royalty interest account, only, to be closed.
- 21 Mark Swartz: Okay. Are there, in fact.... When we get to Exhibit J, we'll talk about that, but
- 22 your reconciliation, here, is that only with regard to the royalty interest account?
- 23 **Anita Duty:** It is.
- 24 Mark Swartz: Is there, also, another piece of this escrow account that pertains to a working
- 25 interest?
- 26 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 27 **Mark Swartz:** And, does that need to be dealt with?

- 1 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 2 Mark Swartz: At the moment, is e-forms capable of processing that?
- Anita Duty: Not in the same petition as this one that we were trying to.... We were trying to
- 4 clear out both accounts with this petition and we couldn't do it.
- 5 Mark Swartz: So, we're trying to make one trip, but you're going to have to make two.
- 6 Anita Duty: Right.
- 7 Mark Swartz: And, Mr. Cooper is aware of that and you have been working together to try to
- 8 get to a point where we can make one trip. Yes? No?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** We've discussed it. Yes.
- 10 Mark Swartz: Okay. We're negotiating that.
- 11 Anita Duty: Well, I think they are having.... There's a couple things that we need help, to be
- able to put things into e-forms and I think their IT department must be.... They're not always
- available to help with e-forms.
- 14 **Bradley Lambert:** Mr. Cooper, can you help us?
- 15 **Rick Cooper:** You know, there's a lot of things going on with the IT group. I guess,
- specifically, in regard to this, we would like to know how many petitions that this would relate
- to, before we re-program our data or re-do our e-forms, to see if it justifies the time that is
- required to do that. I don't know that we have that answer, at this time.
- 19 **Anita Duty:** It's probably not as much the working-interest account and the royalty-interest
- 20 account. It's on previous-owner payments. I think, lately, the last two months we've had emails
- 21 that says that we can't. One of the reasons...we filed a petition, last week. One of the reasons
- 22 that we can't pay somebody out that we listed in there, was because of the e-forms would not
- allow it. So, we had to leave those people.
- 24 **Rick Cooper:** An example is, we may have three different time periods and different
- ownerships and it makes it a bit difficult, but I don't know how many of those petitions we have.
- So, you could have an ownership in '95 and another ownership in '99 and another ownership in
- 27 2001 and, even, a current ownership. So, when you get those multiple, different, time-periods,
- our system is not set to do that, but it probably could be done if there's enough of those to justify
- 29 the time that it would take to do the re-programming. So, that's where we're at, today. The
- alternate to that is, if we can't do that, it would be multiple petitions, three petitions, or whatever,
- 31 to resolve the same issue. I don't know how many of those that we have.

- Anita Duty: The only thing that I know is that it's come up twice, recently, that I remember. I
- 2 don't know how often that will keep coming, but we had to list the reason in our exhibit, as to
- 3 why we didn't pay, so I want to make sure that you all are aware that we did that because we
- 4 have no other reason to keep those people in escrow, other than e-forms wouldn't handle it. I just
- 5 wanted to make you all aware. I'm not trying to.... I just wanted to make you aware of it
- 6 because I know questions may come, later, as to why.
- 7 **Bradley Lambert:** We appreciate that.
- 8 Mark Swartz: People ask us that, too. "Why didn't I get paid, when it was disbursed?" So,
- 9 we're just trying to be transparent about that, that we're unable to pay everybody, occasionally,
- 10 for legitimate reasons because we either have to make multiple trips or we need to do some
- 11 programming.
- 12 **Rick Cooper:** Correct.
- Anita Duty: Unfortunately, this time it was the same owner in different tracts that obtained
- interest, at different times, so it was the same owner that was affected and we chose to pay her
- the tract that she would have gotten the most payment, first.
- 16 **Bradley Lambert:** Mr. Cooper is correct with his analysis that if there's going to be multiple of
- these situations come up, then probably, we need to elevate it in our IT department, but if it's
- 18 only occasionally...
- 19 **Anita Duty:** The thing of it is, it's hard to tell, until we get there.
- 20 **Paul Kugelman:** Can I ask a question, Mr. Chairman?
- 21 **Bradley Lambert:** Sure.
- 22 **Paul Kugelman:** Is there anything preventing the petitioner from just filing multiple petitions,
- 23 to be heard on the same day? I'm hearing it takes us two times, three times, to come here.
- 24 Mark Swartz: You can't have multiple orders to be paid. They've got to be paid in sequence.
- 25 Otherwise, the math's wrong.
- 26 **Paul Kugelman:** The sequence is going to exist, in time, when you get here or.... Am I missing
- something? Presuming that all the petitions get granted, ad seriatim....
- 28 **Anita Duty:** You're assuming that whoever is making the pay-out, pays it out, in sequence.
- 29 That's the reason we don't do it because, in the past, we've had issues with one order getting
- recorded, prior to another one. They don't realize they're related and that percentage.
- Paul Kugelman: That doesn't sound like that could be fixed by changing the petition. I'm
- 32 trying to look at it, from an administrative perspective. I'm just trying to understand the process

- because I'm thinking, once you're fixed, in time, with respect to filing the petition, and
- 2 everything else kind of falls into place.
- 3 Mark Swartz: Will Table 1 let you? Can you generate a Table 1, in process, more than once or
- 4 do you have to wait for that to clear your system?
- 5 **Rick Cooper:** I think we can do multiple petitions, per month, if that is what you're asking. We
- 6 would just have to know the sequence of pay-out. As long as you pay out the sequence,
- 7 correctly, I think all the numbers....
- 8 **Paul Kugelman:** I'm thinking that might be the answer, then. Maybe, I'm over-simplifying it.
- 9 Maybe, I don't understand part of the process. The other thing is, if one payment is contingent
- on a prior payment, if the petition is denied, the rest of them just need to come off, for that
- 11 hearing day.
- 12 **Anita Duty:** The other thing.... You're making them, whenever you're doing your direct
- payment, and then you go to calculate your percentage, going forward, you're going to have to....
- Any of the petitions that should come in sequence, you're going to have to make an assumption,
- as to what the balance would be, at the time, because you have to put those balances in, in date.
- 16 **Paul Kugelman:** Right. What I'm saying is, instead of doing that over the course of months,
- you do it over the course of a hearing.
- 18 Mark Swartz: Let's say you've got one person who has three interests acquired at different
- 19 times. Okay?
- 20 **Paul Kugelman:** Right.
- 21 Mark Swartz: Put that person on direct pay. The balance, going forward, is not going to reflect
- 22 his payments, anymore.
- 23 **Paul Kugelman:** But, if that interest is closed out...
- 24 Mark Swartz: He's got an interest in two other tracts. I can see how this could get really
- screwed up. We may be able to do that, but...
- 26 **Paul Kugelman:** You're the financial guy. You do a lot more financial work than I do, but I'm
- 27 just thinking if you fix it.... I'm going back to actually something you said in a meeting a long
- 28 time ago. Once you reach the part where it can be disbursed, you just disburse into an account.
- 29 Then, it's fixed, in time. The interest is fixed, whatever interest for that principal gets put into
- that unit. Maybe it's something to re-visit. I don't want to sit here and have a long, drawn-out
- 31 meeting about it. It was just a thought I had.
- 32 Mark Swartz: I think we need to actually run a test case, just to make sure that the numbers can
- be.... The problem is you've still got money going into escrow and I'm just worried to make sure

- that we do the math right, so that the percentage we're going to apply to the balance is the right
- 2 percentage, going forward.
- 3 **Paul Kugelman:** But, the money coming in should be funneled to the interest, anyway. My
- 4 thinking is there shouldn't be anything magical about it. If you have a drilling unit with five
- 5 different tracts, three get disbursed. Whatever is still coming in, if it's direct pay for three of the
- 6 tracts, it's going to go to those tracts' interest, whoever owns them and the other two are going to
- 7 be put in the escrow account, until it's resolved.
- 8 Mark Swartz: But, this guy's still got money in there and it's going to come out.
- 9 **Paul Kugelman:** But, you do it by interest, regardless. You do it by tract interest, regardless of
- who the owner is. If all five are owned by the same guy....
- 11 Mark Swartz: They're not.
- 12 **Paul Kugelman:** If they're owned by five different people.... Like I said, I might be over-
- simplifying it. It was just a thought.
- 14 Mark Swartz: I think it's possible that we might be able to do that. I just would want to run the
- math, to make sure that we're not.... We'll do an internal example and make sure we can do it. If
- you're agreeable to that and it works, we'll make one trip.
- 17 **Paul Kugelman:** Does that make sense?
- 18 **Rick Cooper:** Ms. Gilmer, is that doable?
- 19 Sarah Gilmer: I think it's doable. We'll need to run the math and make sure it all works. So, it
- will just depend on what each circumstance is. Anita can run the math and make sure it's going
- 21 to be okay. I think it, probably, could work, but it might not work for everyone. She knows how
- 22 that works.
- 23 Mark Swartz: One of the reasons that I'm worried about it.... You probably remember that,
- sometimes, we come in here, when there's been a transfer of an interest in a tract and we do two
- 25 tables. We do a Table 1, that's a fixed amount, to make sure that, going forward, we've got the
- 26 right number. Then, the second disbursement is a percentage. I just want to make sure that we
- track these interests in a way that we don't siphon money from other people into an account, to
- overpay somebody. It may actually work. We may be able to do it, as long as you're open to
- 29 multiple scenarios on one trip, we'll explore that, to simplify everybody's life. We can do it.
- We're up for doing it. We just want to make sure that we just don't have some issue, down the
- 31 road.
- **Paul Kugelman:** I appreciate that and I wasn't throwing that out, as a definite fix. It was a
- 33 thought.

- 1 Mark Swartz: But, it's an idea that we're prepared to pursue, now that we know it's good with
- 2 you guys.
- 3 **Bradley Lambert:** Do a pilot project, on the next one.
- 4 Sarah Gilmer: I'll just have to track it and make sure that it gets paid in the correct order and
- 5 that kind of thing.
- 6 Mark Swartz: Well, the order will have to say how it's going to be paid. We're going to have to
- 7 come in and tell you that. The other issue we have, that we have to explore, is to make sure that
- 8 our division order royalty people and our payments, our ability to pay people in our computer
- 9 system, will allow for this, too.
- 10 **Anita Duty:** So that they have the same...they realize the same thing that we do. That has to be
- 11 paid in order.
- 12 **Bradley Lambert:** Let me go back, again, and say...
- 13 **Anita Duty:** I don't really have control over them.
- 14 **Bradley Lambert:** If this is ongoing and going to be a lot of them, we'll look at re-
- programming the system, but let's try this, first.
- Anita Duty: I know we have, at least, two. I think there's a third one that came up, this week. I
- think we've got three units, so far.
- 18 Mark Swartz: So, let's look at a couple of those and see what we can do and we'll be here, in
- 19 July, and we can have a report that, yes, it works. We think we can make this work, or here are
- 20 the problems.
- 21 **Bradley Lambert:** Just remember our IT department services seven divisions, across the State.
- 22 **Anita Duty:** I understand.
- 23 **Bradley Lambert:** We can't just jump on every little thing that comes up, so let's try things
- 24 before.
- 25 Mark Swartz: Here's what we're worried about, what Anita's worried about. We get questions.
- 26 "Why didn't I receive a check?" And, we just want the record to reflect that, in theory, we could
- 27 have paid people and this is why we haven't, today.
- 28 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay.
- 29 **Anita Duty:** We, actually, had to call her and explain to her why she wasn't getting paid on one
- tract and she was getting paid on the other. And, we actually put that on our exhibits. We
- 31 wanted you all to know that, too.

- 1 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay. We'll try one, in July, and see how it works.
- 2 Mark Swartz: All right.
- 3 **Bradley Lambert:** Now, I'm lost. I forgot where we were. Anything further, Mr. Swartz?
- 4 Mark Swartz: I'm thinking we didn't get through Exhibit J.
- 5 **Bradley Lambert:** We didn't do Exhibit J.
- 6 Mark Swartz: Anita, with regard to Exhibit J, for BA110, again, did you try to locate all of the
- 7 checks that you had cut?
- 8 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 9 Mark Swartz: And locate corresponding deposits?
- 10 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 11 Mark Swartz: And a couple instances that you highlighted, in yellow, you were not able to
- 12 match?
- 13 **Anita Duty:** We were not.
- 14 Mark Swartz: Then, those are followed by some brackets. In those instances, you were able to
- 15 make it work.
- 16 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 17 Mark Swartz: Again, those were early on. Then, you ran a total. Correct?
- 18 Anita Duty: We did.
- 19 Mark Swartz: And, you compared that to the bank's total, as of March 2017. There was a
- 20 difference. What was the difference?
- 21 **Anita Duty:** The bank was showing \$1,176.41 more than our calculation.
- 22 <u>Mark Swartz:</u> In this instance, Buckhorn has already been dismissed, so we don't need to deal
- with that?
- 24 Anita Duty: Correct.
- 25 Mark Swartz: So, it's just a matter of making the disbursement to Mr. Dye, closing the escrow
- account, with regard to the royalty interest, and then paying him, directly, going forward. Right?
- 27 **Anita Duty:** Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: That's all I have.
- 2 **Bradley Lambert:** Anything further? [No response] Any questions from the Board? [No
- 3 response] We spent too much time on that discussion.
- 4 Mark Swartz: Correct.
- 5 **Bradley Lambert:** Any questions from the Board? [No response] Anything further, Mr.
- 6 Swartz?
- 7 Mark Swartz: No.
- 8 **Bradley Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- 9 **Donnie Rife:** Motion made for approval, Mr. Chair.
- 10 **Bill Harris:** Second.
- 11 **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? [No
- response] All in favor signify by saying yes.
- 13 **Board:** Yes.
- 14 **Bradley Lambert:** Opposed no. [No response] Thank you, Mr. Swartz. That is approved.

# 15 <u>Item Number 6</u>

- 16 **Bradley Lambert:** We're calling Docket Item Number 6. A petition from CNX Gas Company,
- 17 LLC, for Pooling under the Nora Coalbed Methane Gas Field I. This is Docket Number VGOB-
- 18 17-0620-4125. All parties wishing to testify please come forward.
- 19 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- 20 **Bradley Lambert:** Board, you might want to reflect that, in your agenda, it says, "Oakwood
- 21 Coalbed Methane," but it's actually Nora Coalbed.
- 22 Mark Swartz: Correct. It's Nora everywhere, except in the docket, so we're good. Anita,
- would you state your name for us, again, please?
- 24 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- 25 <u>Mark Swartz:</u> Who do you work for?
- 26 **Anita Duty:** CNX Land, LLC.
- 27 Mark Swartz: And, are you here on behalf of the applicant, CNX Gas Company, LLC, today?

- 1 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 2 Mark Swartz: And, this is a pooling application, as opposed to a disbursement request.
- 3 **Anita Duty:** It is.
- 4 Mark Swartz: And, this pertains to what unit?
- 5 Anita Duty: U80.
- 6 Mark Swartz: And, it's in what field?
- 7 Anita Duty: Nora.
- 8 Mark Swartz: And, how many acres?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** 58.66.
- 10 Mark Swartz: What did you do to notify people that we were going to have a hearing today,
- with regard to this pooling application?
- Anita Duty: Mailed by certified mail, return receipt requested, on May 4, 2017. Published the
- notice and location map in the Bluefield Daily Telegraph, on May 9, 2017.
- 14 Mark Swartz: And, I assume you have uploaded that into the system? Both of those?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 16 Mark Swartz: The certificates of mailing and the proof of publication.
- 17 **Anita Duty:** We have.
- 18 Mark Swartz: Okay. You have listed the respondents in the notice, in the application and
- 19 Exhibit B3. Do you want to add any respondents, today?
- 20 Anita Duty: No.
- 21 Mark Swartz: Do you want to dismiss any?
- 22 Anita Duty: No.
- 23 Mark Swartz: Okay. This pooling application contemplates how many wells?
- 24 **Anita Duty:** Two.
- 25 **Mark Swartz:** And, have you provided cost data?
- 26 **Anita Duty:** Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: We'll go back to that, in a moment. One of the wells is located within the
- 2 drilling window. Correct?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: And, one is outside?
- 5 **Anita Duty:** It is.
- 6 Mark Swartz: And, I think you attached a request for location exception, toward the end of
- 7 your application.
- 8 **Anita Duty:** We did.
- 9 Mark Swartz: Just to document that.
- 10 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 11 Mark Swartz: That location exception is something that is driven by what?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** The permit process.
- 13 Mark Swartz: Right. What's the reason?
- 14 Anita Duty: Steep topography and residential structures.
- 15 Mark Swartz: Okay. Those are the reasons for the request. If we look at the plat, the U80A is
- in the upper northwest corner of the drilling window. Right?
- 17 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 18 Mark Swartz: And, the U80 is in the southeast corner of the unit, itself.
- 19 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 20 Mark Swartz: You provided Exhibit B, which is a list of everyone who has an interest in the
- 21 unit. Right?
- 22 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 23 Mark Swartz: Then, Exhibit B3 deals with the respondents and their interest. Correct?
- 24 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 25 Mark Swartz: And, we have an heirship, essentially which is the problem, here. Right?
- 26 **Anita Duty:** Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: And, Exhibit B3 summarizes the amount of acres that are being pooled. How
- 2 many acres is that?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** 2.84.
- 4 Mark Swartz: The percentage of the unit that that represents is what?
- 5 **Anita Duty:** 4.8415.
- 6 Mark Swartz: And, you have provided well cost estimates. The first estimate is in what
- 7 amount?
- 8 **Anita Duty:** \$360,580.
- 9 Mark Swartz: And, the second one?
- 10 **Anita Duty:** \$355,255.
- 11 Mark Swartz: And, Mr. Staten did those, in April. Right?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 13 Mark Swartz: There is an escrow requirement, here, with regard to the respondents and the
- heirship that we noted?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 16 Mark Swartz: Is that the only reason for escrow?
- 17 Anita Duty: Yes, it is.
- 18 Mark Swartz: Have you provided an Exhibit...? And, everybody else is going to be on direct
- 19 pay, apparently?
- 20 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 21 Mark Swartz: And, the last thing is the location exception and a couple of formalities. First of
- all, is CNX Gas Company, LLC, a Virginia Limited Liability Partnership?
- 23 **Anita Duty:** It is.
- 24 Mark Swartz: Limited Liability Company, I should say. Is it authorized to do business in the
- 25 Commonwealth?
- 26 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 27 Mark Swartz: Is it registered with the Division of Gas and Oil?

- 1 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 2 Mark Swartz: Does it have a blanket bond on file?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** It does.
- 4 Mark Swartz: Is drilling two frack wells in the location shown in this unit, a reasonable way, in
- 5 your opinion, to develop the coalbed methane within the unit?
- 6 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: If the Board grants this pooling petition and escrows the interest of the heirship,
- 8 as delineated in Exhibit B3, and you combine that with the interests that have been acquired or
- 9 leased by the operator, is it your opinion that the correlative rights of all owners of the coalbed
- methane will be protected?
- 11 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 12 Mark Swartz: That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
- 13 **Bradley Lambert:** Any questions from the Board? [No response] Anything further, Mr.
- 14 Swartz?
- 15 Mark Swartz: No.
- 16 **Bradley Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- 17 **Donnie Rife:** Motion made for approval, Mr. Chair.
- 18 Bill Harris: Second.
- 19 **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? [No
- 20 response] All in favor signify by saying yes.
- 21 **Board:** Yes.
- 22 **Bradley Lambert:** Opposed no. [No response] Thank you, Mr. Swartz. That one is approved.
- 23 Item Number 7
- 24 **Bradley Lambert:** We're calling Docket Item Number 7. A petition from CNX Gas Company,
- 25 LLC, for Pooling under Oakwood Coalbed Methane Gas Field I. This is Docket Number
- VGOB-17-0620-4126. All parties wishing to testify please come forward.
- 27 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- 28 **Bradley Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Swartz.

- 1 Mark Swartz: Anita, would you state your name for us, again?
- 2 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- 3 Mark Swartz: Who do you work for?
- 4 Anita Duty: CNX Land, LLC.
- 5 Mark Swartz: Are you here on behalf of the applicant, CNX Gas Company, LLC?
- 6 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: And, this is a pooling application?
- 8 **Anita Duty:** It is.
- 9 Mark Swartz: Pertaining to Oakwood Unit J33?
- 10 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 11 Mark Swartz: And, you're proposing to drill frack wells in that unit?
- 12 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 13 Mark Swartz: Have you identified the people, the respondents, that you're seeking to pool, in
- the notice, the application, and Exhibit B3?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 16 Mark Swartz: Do you want to add any respondents to that list, today?
- 17 **Anita Duty:** No.
- 18 Mark Swartz: Do you want to dismiss any?
- 19 Anita Duty: No.
- 20 Mark Swartz: Actually, this unit includes.... You're seeking permission to drill three wells
- 21 within this unit. Correct?
- 22 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 23 Mark Swartz: But, you're seeking to allocate costs, only with regard to two of them.
- 24 **Anita Duty:** That's correct.
- 25 **Mark Swartz:** The reason for the three wells is what?
- 26 **Anita Duty:** This is within a proposed mine area.

- 1 Mark Swartz: Okay. And, you have long-wall panels. You have a map of that, in the event
- 2 that we need it, that shows the location of those panels and the wells?
- 3 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: Look to the plat. Exhibit J, Well 33 is in the north, northwest corner of the
- 5 drilling window. Right?
- 6 **Anita Duty:** Right.
- 7 Mark Swartz: The second well, J33A, is also in the drilling window and is near the southeast
- 8 corner of the window?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 10 Mark Swartz: Then, you have the third well, over to the west, just outside the drilling window,
- in the southern portion of the unit. Correct?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 13 Mark Swartz: Then, have you provided...? They're all frack wells?
- 14 **Anita Duty:** They are...right now.
- 15 Mark Swartz: Okay. And, they're being drilled to de-gas, in advance of mining?
- 16 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 17 Mark Swartz: Then, you have provided an Exhibit B, which lists everyone in the unit.
- 18 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 19 Mark Swartz: And an Exhibit B3, which starts, I think, at Page 11, of the PDF. It identifies the
- 20 folks that are being pooled.
- 21 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 22 Mark Swartz: There are how many acres, unleased?
- 23 **Anita Duty:** 3.56.
- 24 Mark Swartz: What percentage of the entire unit would that be?
- 25 **Anita Duty:** 4.45.
- 26 Mark Swartz: You've provided well costs for information for the three wells?
- 27 **Anita Duty:** Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: And, the costs are what? Go ahead and do all three.
- 2 **Anita Duty:** For J33, the estimated cost is \$325,672. J33A, \$316,410. J33B, \$361,769.
- 3 Mark Swartz: Again, Bob Staten did those, in April. Correct?
- 4 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 5 Mark Swartz: Is it your opinion that drilling these three wells will have two beneficial effects.
- 6 First, it will produce coalbed methane gas, efficiently, from the unit?
- 7 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 8 Mark Swartz: And, it will serve to de-gas the P3 seam, in advance of mining?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** Yes, it will.
- 10 Mark Swartz: And, is it your opinion that, if the Board enters an order pooling the respondents
- that you've named and the acreage that you've identified, with the interest that CNX has either
- acquired or leased, that the correlative rights of all owners of the coalbed methane will be
- 13 protected?
- 14 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 15 Mark Swartz: Then, with regard to CNX, CNX is the applicant, but is also seeking to be the
- 16 operator?
- 17 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 18 Mark Swartz: And, it's a Limited-Liability Company, authorized by the Commonwealth?
- 19 **Anita Duty:** It is.
- 20 Mark Swartz: They are...created by the Commonwealth and authorized to do business in the
- 21 Commonwealth?
- 22 **Anita Duty:** They are.
- 23 Mark Swartz: Has CNX registered with the Division of Gas and Oil?
- 24 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 25 Mark Swartz: Does it have a blanket bond on file?
- 26 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 27 Mark Swartz: What are the lease terms that CNX has offered to the folks that you've been able
- to acquire leases from?

- Anita Duty: \$5 per acre, per year, with a 5-year paid-up term and a 1/8 royalty.
- 2 Mark Swartz: I just realized I didn't offer that testimony in the prior application.
- 3 **Bradley Lambert:** No.
- 4 Mark Swartz: I would like to supplement that, with this.
- 5 **Bradley Lambert:** Accepted.
- 6 Mark Swartz: And, are you recommending those terms to the Board, for people who might be
- 7 deemed to have been released?
- 8 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 9 Mark Swartz: In the event that needs to be done.
- 10 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 11 Mark Swartz: Okay. Since the change in the law, that probably doesn't need to occur, but we
- 12 need to put it on there.
- 13 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 14 Mark Swartz: Okay. I think that's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
- 15 **Bradley Lambert:** Any questions from the Board?
- 16 **Bill Harris:** Mr. Chairman, let me ask about the third well. There's no AFE. What happens to
- the production from that well? Is it co-mingled?
- 18 Mark Swartz: There is an AFE, for that. We provided estimated costs for all three wells.
- 19 We're just not trying to collect it. We're not allocating it to somebody who participates.
- 20 **Bill Harris:** Okay.
- 21 Mark Swartz: Because it's driven by the mining. I think your question really was, "What
- 22 happens to the gas that comes out of that well?"
- 23 **Bill Harris:** Yes.
- 24 Mark Swartz: And, I'll let you answer that, but I think I know the answer.
- 25 **Anita Duty:** It's treated no different than the others. All the production from those wells, within
- that unit, get paid to the owners.
- 27 **Bill Harris:** Goes to that unit. Okay.

- 1 Anita Duty: Or, allocated along the units, eventually.
- 2 **Bill Harris:** Because of the panels?
- 3 Anita Duty: Yes, but that's farther down the road.
- 4 **Bill Harris:** Okay. Thank you.
- 5 **Mary Quillen:** That is long-wall mining, right?
- 6 Anita Duty: Currently, it's going to be just a frack well.
- 7 Mary Quillen: Oh.
- 8 Mark Swartz: You'll see in the next drilling application, we're sort of experimenting and trying
- 9 to make one trip. In the next pooling application, it will be very similar to this. We de-gas frack
- wells, but we're also, at the same time, pooling it, going forward. So, long-wall and panels, so
- that we don't have to come back to convert the production from frack to panels. You'll see an
- example of that. Hopefully, that will go well, but in this one, we didn't. We're going to have to
- come back, when it converts from frack to panel.
- 14 **Bradley Lambert:** So, that's the case, with J33?
- 15 **Mark Swartz:** With J33
- 16 **Bradley Lambert:** A frack well that's going to be converted to be in a panel.
- 17 Mark Swartz: Initially, all of the production from the three frack wells will be allocated to the
- 18 entire unit. Then, eventually, there will be panel production, that will be allocated on a different
- 19 basis. We're going to have to come back.
- 20 **Mary Quillen:** For each of those three.
- 21 Mark Swartz: Well, when you start allocating production, based on panels, you're not really
- allocating it, based on the location of a well. So, that's implied in your question.
- 23 Mary Quillen: A combination of the three? Is that what you're saying?
- Mark Swartz: We're going to be looking for a well that's in a panel, that may not be in this unit,
- 25 even.
- 26 Mary Quillen: Say that, again.
- 27 Mark Swartz: This is a map that encompasses.... The green dots are wells. Okay? There are
- several units. This is J33. This is K33. The panels are going to run north and south, through
- 29 several units.

- 1 Mary Quillen: Right.
- 2 Mark Swartz: So, if you're in a panel, there could be a well in the K-unit that is producing from
- that panel, drilled into that panel. People in the J33 Unit will be allocated on an acreage-basis
- 4 production from that well. Once you define the panel and you're producing from that panel,
- 5 which crosses several unit boundaries, the well producing from that panel has to be located in the
- 6 panel. Ultimately, it may not be in the J33 Unit. It might be in another unit. So, when you ask a
- 7 question about these wells as producing panel gas, I'm reluctant to agree that that's going to
- 8 involve these actual wells. Is this helping?
- 9 Mary Quillen: The panels are what makes the difference.
- 10 Mark Swartz: Correct.
- 11 Anita Duty: Once we pay panel production, it's going to be a combination of, it could be J33,
- 12 K33, L33. It could be all of the wells. All the production within that panel gets allocated across
- all units. So, it's a little bit different, but it's still the production from those wells get paid to the
- 14 appropriate owners.
- 15 **Mary Quillen:** Okay.
- Anita Duty: They will still share. It will just be a different type.
- 17 Mary Quillen: That was just my.... How are these people going to get paid?
- 18 Mark Swartz: The other thing that can happen...and I don't mean to...but, I need to tell you.
- 19 Sometimes, there are multiple wells in a unit. One of those wells would continue to produce, as
- a frack well.
- 21 Mary Quillen: Right.
- 22 Mark Swartz: But would be paid in the entire unit, based on that frack well. And, some people
- 23 will get that payment, plus a panel payment, maybe plus a long-hole payment. So, trying to
- 24 account for all of the production and get it back to the people that it came from. So, you could
- 25 have multiple methodologies. You could receive a frack payment. You could receive a piece of
- a long-hall, or receive a piece of several panels.
- 27 Mary Quillen: Okay.
- 28 **Bradley Lambert:** It would have been helpful, I guess, for the Board members.... I understand
- 29 it. I know mining, been around it, but for the Board members, if we would have had a map
- 30 included with the exhibit, as an exhibit.
- 31 **Donnie Rife:** We're talking here, not twelve feet away.

- 1 Anita Duty: In the future, we'll do that. All this is just proposed, right now. That's, kind of,
- 2 why we didn't want.... We can say, "Put that on there."
- 3 **Bradley Lambert:** We see it all the time with other cases, a proposed mining unit. That would
- 4 be helpful, just to explain it to the Board members.
- 5 **Anita Duty:** Okay.
- 6 Mark Swartz: We're, basically, working off of mine plans, at the moment.
- 7 Mary Quillen: Right.
- 8 Anita Duty: We can do that, going forward.
- 9 Mark Swartz: Actually, why don't we just pass this around. If you want to make an exhibit...
- 10 **Bradley Lambert:** No, that's okay. From this point forward, we can do that.
- 11 Mark Swartz: Unless there are any other questions, that's all I have.
- 12 **Bradley Lambert:** Any questions from the Board? Any other questions? [No response]
- 13 Anything further, Mr. Swartz?
- 14 Mark Swartz: No.
- 15 **Bradley Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- 16 **Donnie Rife:** Since you all have made that clear as mud, I make a motion to approve.
- 17 Mark Swartz: Awesome.
- 18 **Bill Harris:** I'll second that.
- 19 **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? [No
- 20 response] All in favor signify by saying yes.
- 21 **Board:** Yes.
- 22 **Bradley Lambert:** Opposed no. [No response]
- 23 Item Number 8
- 24 **Bradley Lambert:** We're calling Docket Item Number 8. A petition from CNX Gas Company,
- 25 LLC, for Pooling under Oakwood Coalbed Methane Gas Field I. Docket Number VGOB-17-
- 26 0620-4127. All parties wishing to testify please come forward.
- 27 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.

- 1 **Bradley Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Swartz.
- 2 Mark Swartz: I was just confirming, with Anita. If you think the last one was confusing, this
- 3 will make it way worse. This is an experiment. We're trying to make one trip, on this, so we can
- 4 come to you for the frack well, the long hall and the panel, on one trip. So, that's what this is
- 5 going to involve. We'll see how it goes. Going back to the beginning, here. State your name for
- 6 us, please.
- 7 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- 8 Mark Swartz: Who do you work for?
- 9 Anita Duty: CNX Land, LLC.
- 10 Mark Swartz: Are you here on behalf of the applicant, CNX Gas Company, LLC?
- 11 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 12 Mark Swartz: The applicant here is also proposing to be the operator. Correct?
- 13 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 14 Mark Swartz: In that regard, is CNX Gas Company, LLC, a Virginia Limited Liability
- 15 Company?
- 16 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 17 Mark Swartz: Authorized to do business in the State?
- 18 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 19 Mark Swartz: Has it registered with the DGO?
- 20 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 21 Mark Swartz: Does it have a blanket bond on file?
- 22 **Anita Duty:** It does.
- 23 Mark Swartz: Okay. And, this is a pooling application. Correct?
- 24 **Anita Duty:** It is.
- 25 Mark Swartz: And, it pertains to an Oakwood Unit?
- 26 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 27 **Mark Swartz:** Which one?

- 1 **Anita Duty:** R48.
- 2 Mark Swartz: It's quite a list, here, but have you identified the people that you're seeking to
- 3 pool, as respondents?
- 4 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 5 Mark Swartz: And, you've done that in the notice, the application, and, also, Exhibit B3?
- 6 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: Do you want to add anybody to the list?
- 8 **Anita Duty:** No.
- 9 Mark Swartz: Do you want to dismiss anyone?
- 10 Anita Duty: We need to dismiss Willard McNeil. We've already loaded those revised exhibits
- 11 to e-forms.
- 12 Mark Swartz: Because, why?
- 13 **Anita Duty:** He has leased, since our pooling application was filed.
- 14 Mark Swartz: So, you loaded, probably, an Exhibit B2, I'm thinking.
- 15 **Anita Duty:** A B2 and a B3.
- 16 Mark Swartz: Okay. So, you revised the B3, as well. Is he the only dismissal, then, because of
- the lease?
- 18 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 19 <u>Mark Swartz:</u> Okay. And, you're proposing, here, I think, two frack wells, initially?
- 20 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 21 Mark Swartz: We'll come back to that, but have you provided cost estimates for those wells?
- 22 **Anita Duty:** We have.
- 23 Mark Swartz: What did you do to tell people we were going to have a hearing, today, on this
- 24 unit?
- 25 Anita Duty: We mailed by certified mail, return receipt requested on May 10, 2017. Published
- in the Bluefield Daily Telegraph on May 15, 2017.

- 1 Mark Swartz: Have you loaded the certificates, with regard to mailing and publication, on e-
- 2 forms?
- 3 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: Then, with regard to the plat, here, both of the proposed wells are outside of the
- 5 drilling window?
- 6 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: They're both toward the western portion of the unit. One is, sort of, in the
- 8 northwest corner and one is, sort of, in the northeast corner. Correct?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 10 Mark Swartz: Have these been located, in relation to mine plans?
- 11 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 12 **Mark Swartz:** Which mine?
- 13 Anita Duty: Buchanan.
- Mark Swartz: Is this de-gas of a long-wall panel? Is that the issue, here?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 16 Mark Swartz: Or, several long-wall panels. Correct?
- 17 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 18 Mark Swartz: Okay. You provided us with Exhibit B, which would list everyone in the unit
- that has an interest in the gas. Correct?
- 20 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 21 Mark Swartz: Then, you provided us with an Exhibit B3. Let me try to find the start page, of
- 22 that. B3 looks like it starts at PDF Page 27. If we look at the top of B3, you've got, obviously,
- out to the left-hand side, you're listing people. Correct?
- 24 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 25 Mark Swartz: And estates. For the various entries, there, you have a first column called after
- 26 the names. You have a first column, net acres in unit. Right?
- 27 **Anita Duty:** Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: That would be the acreage that the particular estate, or person, owns in the 80-
- 2 acre unit. Right?
- 3 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: Then, there's an interest in unit column. Correct?
- 5 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 6 Mark Swartz: If we're just staying with the James D. and Margaret McNeil heirs, devisees,
- 7 successors, or assignees, collectively, they have 63.77 acres?
- 8 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 9 Mark Swartz: Their percentage of the unit would be?
- 10 **Anita Duty:** 79.7125.
- 11 Mark Swartz: After that, we've got a long-hole, number 8, entry and a percentage. We've got
- an interest in 28 right, which is a proposed long-wall panel. Right?
- 13 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 14 Mark Swartz: And, an interest in 29 right?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 16 Mark Swartz: So, in the beginning, when this unit starts, just taking the James O. and Margaret
- McNeil heirs, collectively. They would have a 79.7125% interest in the production from the
- 18 frack wells?
- 19 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 20 Mark Swartz: The long-hole is a completely separate source of gas.
- 21 **Anita Duty:** It's an addition.
- 22 Mark Swartz: Okay. But, it's not going to be produced out of the frack well holes?
- 23 **Anita Duty:** No.
- 24 Mark Swartz: Okay. And, that is a hole that is allocated based on feet in panels and units?
- 25 **Anita Duty:** It is.
- 26 Mark Swartz: So, the percentage, there, under long-hole number 8 entry, you have 86.4761%,
- is the allocation based on the length of the hole, that is to be applied to the total production from
- the hole and attributed to this unit?

- 1 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 2 Mark Swartz: Okay. Then, the piece of that production, that the James O. and Margaret
- 3 McNeil heirs would share, is the .68932261% of the revenue?
- 4 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 5 Mark Swartz: Then, we've got a similar calculation for once the long-wall panels are isolated?
- 6 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: It looks like there are going to be two long-wall panels projected to go through
- 8 this unit?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 10 Mark Swartz: We've identified them, by name, 29-right and 28-right. Correct?
- 11 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- Mark Swartz: For each, you have stated a percentage, again. Would that be the percent of the
- acres in the panel, in R48?
- 14 Anita Duty: It is.
- 15 Mark Swartz: Then, below that, there would be the division of interest of, again, taking as an
- example, the James O. and Margaret McNeil heirs, and their division interest in 28-right, is
- 17 .14022066?
- 18 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 19 Mark Swartz: And, in 29-right, there's a percentage, as well?
- 20 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 21 Mark Swartz: And, for page after page of people in Exhibit B3, you've reported those
- 22 interests?
- 23 **Anita Duty:** We have.
- 24 Mark Swartz: Okay. Then, if we continue on, you have provided us with the two cost
- estimates for the two frack wells that we're starting off with. Right?
- 26 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 27 Mark Swartz: And, what are those estimates?
- 28 **Anita Duty:** For R48, it's \$329,739. For R48B, \$330,617.

- 1 Mark Swartz: Those would be combined and, if you participated, that would be the number
- 2 you start with, in the allocation process?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: Then, you have provided us with an Exhibit E, pertaining to escrow?
- 5 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 6 **Mark Swartz:** And, that begins, I think, at Page 47 of the PDF.
- 7 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 8 Mark Swartz: And, the reasons for escrow are?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** We have some documentation still pending, within the heirship.
- 10 Mark Swartz: So, it's, essentially, an heirship issue?
- 11 Anita Duty: It is.
- 12 Mark Swartz: If and when that gets resolved...
- 13 Anita Duty: Then, we can pay those people.
- 14 Mark Swartz: At least, for right now, there is an escrow requirement, based on escrow issues,
- or on heirship issues.
- 16 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 17 Mark Swartz: You don't need an Exhibit EE because everybody who's not on Exhibit E is
- 18 going to be paid?
- 19 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 20 Mark Swartz: I think you provided evidence that you requested a location exception from Mr.
- 21 Cooper. Correct?
- 22 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 23 Mark Swartz: To the extent that anybody is deemed to have been leased, are you offering the
- same terms that you've discussed, with regard to the prior unit?
- 25 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 26 **Mark Swartz:** Which are?
- 27 **Anita Duty:** \$5 per acre, per year, 5-year paid-up term and a 1/8 royalty.

- 1 Mark Swartz: Is it your opinion that this combination of production methods is a reasonable
- 2 way to produce coalbed methane from this unit and to allocate it to the owners?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: Is it your opinion that, if the Board pools this unit and pools the respondents
- 5 named in Exhibit B3 and combines their interest with the interest the operators either acquired or
- 6 leased, that the correlative rights of all owners of the coalbed methane will be protected?
- 7 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 8 Mark Swartz: That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
- 9 **Bradley Lambert:** Any questions from the Board? [No response] Must not have been too
- 10 complicated.
- Mark Swartz: I don't know. Or, everybody's just like, "Oh, my." But, we phased that, too. If
- it works, if this is okay, we've made one trip. We used to always come back several times.
- 13 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay. Anything further?
- 14 Mark Swartz: No.
- 15 **Bradley Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- **Donnie Rife:** Motion made for approval, Mr. Chair.
- 17 **Bill Harris:** Second.
- 18 **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and a second. Any further discussion? [No response] All in
- 19 favor signify by saying yes.
- 20 **Board:** Yes.
- 21 **Bradley Lambert:** Opposed no. [No response] Thank you, Mr. Swartz.
- 22 Mark Swartz: Thank you.
- 23 **Bradley Lambert:** You all have a nice day. We're going to take a ten-minute break. We'll be
- back at 15 after.

#### 25 Item Number 9

- 26 **Bradley Lambert:** Ladies and gentlemen, if you will please take your seats, we'll resume our
- 27 proceedings, this morning. We're calling Docket Item Number 9. A petition from EnerVest
- Operating, LLC, to disburse funds from the escrow account for Unit V-825524, to all known

- owners in Tract 4. Docket Number VGOB-06-0516-1641-01. All parties wishing to testify
- 2 please come forward.
- 3 <u>Tim Scott:</u> Tim Scott, Chuck Akers, for EnerVest Operating.
- 4 **Bradley Lambert:** Good morning.
- 5 **Sarah Gilmer:** Mr. Akers, do you swear and affirm that your testimony is the truth, the whole
- 6 truth and nothing but the truth?
- 7 **Charles Akers:** I do.
- 8 **Bradley Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Scott.
- 9 **Tim Scott:** Mr. Akers, please state your name, by whom you are employed and your job
- description, please.
- 11 <u>Charles Akers:</u> My name is Charles Akers. I am employed with EnerVest Operating, in
- 12 Abingdon, Virginia.
- 13 **Tim Scott:** And, you are familiar with this disbursement request. Is that correct?
- 14 **Charles Akers:** Yes.
- 15 **Tim Scott:** What's the basis for this request?
- 16 Charles Akers: We need to disburse some monies in escrow. The owner has come forward to
- provide his address and the ownership information.
- 18 **Tim Scott:** Okay. And, that's been verified. Is that correct?
- 19 **Charles Akers:** That's correct.
- 20 **Tim Scott:** Once those disbursements are made and this request is approved, will this escrow
- 21 account be closed for this unit?
- 22 Charles Akers: No, it will not. There are still people that are.... There's unknown owners and
- 23 unlocatables.
- 24 **Tim Scott:** Okay. Which tract are we talking about, here?
- 25 Charles Akers: Tract 4.
- **Tim Scott:** And, you've looked at the figures from the Escrow Agent. Is that right?
- 27 **Charles Akers:** That is correct.
- 28 <u>Tim Scott:</u> How do those reconcile with EnerVest's figures?

- **Charles Akers:** There is a positive of \$2,428.32 in the escrow account.
- **Tim Scott:** What's the reason for that, Mr. Akers?
- 3 <u>Charles Akers:</u> The reason for this discrepancy is an accounting issue. The prior operator,
- 4 Chesapeake, did not supply us with the production and payment information, to reconcile that.
- **Tim Scott:** So, you start with what date, as far as your deposits?
- **Charles Akers:** We've monitored it, since 1/31/11.
- 7 <u>Tim Scott:</u> Okay. So, anything prior to that, would be the result of this additional overage. Is
- 8 that correct?
- **Charles Akers:** That is correct.
- **Tim Scott:** Okay. What's the date of the reconciliation, for you all?
- **Charles Akers:** The final date is 3/31/17.
- **Tim Scott:** So, from this point on, the parties listed, who are shown in Tract 4, will be paid
- directly. Is that right?
- **Charles Akers:** That is correct.
- **Tim Scott:** And, also receive the funds in escrow?
- **Charles Akers:** That is correct.
- **Tim Scott:** That's what I have for Mr. Akers.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Any questions from the Board?
- **Bill Harris:** Let me just comment, Mr. Chairman. That's a lot of excess amount, I guess. It's
- 20 quite substantial, compared to the bank balance, I guess, is what I'm saying. So, is that just left
- 21 there? What happens to that additional amount?
- **Charles Akers:** The additional amount would be disbursed.
- **<u>Bill Harris:</u>** The assumption is that that is properly placed, just that the records aren't available.
- **Charles Akers:** We're going by the ownership percentage in the unit.
- **Bill Harris:** Okay.
- **Tim Scott:** You have requested additional information from Chesapeake. Is that right?

- 1 Charles Akers: That is correct. We requested the information, but we haven't received it, as of
- 2 date, or any further correspondence, whether they were going to provide it to us, or not.
- 3 **Bill Harris:** Okay.
- 4 **Bradley Lambert:** So, Mr. Akers, when was the well drilled?
- 5 **Charles Akers:** The plat is 1/18/07. I'm not sure what the drill date....
- 6 **Bradley Lambert:** So, you're going just by the plat date, on the date the well was drilled?
- 7 <u>Charles Akers:</u> That's what I'm going by, here. I don't have it in my records, with me, when the
- 8 actual well was done.
- 9 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay. And, if that's not the correct date, that's four years you don't have
- 10 records for. You don't have production data. You don't have anything for it?
- 11 **Donnie Rife:** For four years?
- 12 <u>Charles Akers:</u> We could get the State records. We didn't reconcile it that way. We just
- requested the payment history from Chesapeake.
- 14 **Bradley Lambert:** Just a suggestion from the Board, that's something you probably want to do
- is go back and get State production records and calculate how much should be there. Maybe it's
- more than \$2,000. I don't know.
- 17 **Donnie Rife:** That's something they should have done four years ago.
- 18 **Bradley Lambert:** They inherited it from Chesapeake.
- 19 **Tim Scott:** I think, Mr. Chairman, if that's the case, and we do find that the payment records are
- 20 not accurate, we'll come back and that will be reconciled, as to the additional disbursements to be
- 21 made. As it stands now, this is a classic GeoMet situation, as well, where Atlas picked up those
- 22 figures and GeoMet couldn't provide the historical data of those payments. So, based on what's
- there and what they've allocated it to, we assume it's correct, unless those figures show that
- they're incorrect. Then, we'll address that issue.
- 25 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay.
- 26 **Rick Cooper:** Mr. Chairman, to answer your question, the well has been producing for ten
- 27 years.
- 28 **Bradley Lambert:** The well is producing for ten?
- 29 **Rick Cooper:** That's correct.

- 1 **Donnie Rife:** But, this is, basically, an inherited.... You guys are forgiven, but somebody
- 2 should have been slapped, a long time ago.
- 3 **Rick Cooper:** Just a second, here. We've got a discrepancy, here. Let's make sure.
- 4 Enforcement says it's been producing since '07. Could you check the production record on that
- 5 and see if it goes back? Give us just a second.
- 6 Charles Akers: We could do that and come up with an estimate, as far as the gas sold and
- 7 marketed. That's the other part of the puzzle we would need from Chesapeake to nail down,
- 8 exactly.
- 9 **Bradley Boyd:** Here, on our State Production records, we're showing production began January
- 10 2007.
- 11 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay. So, that would be the thing, just to check production records and
- 12 calculate it.
- 13 <u>Tim Scott:</u> Mr. Chairman, I think, too, I know that's a substantial amount of money, that shows
- as what's on deposit, but also remember that, at the time, in '07 and '08, what the cost of gas was,
- at that point. So, as it began to decline, then you can see those deposits. So, I don't think it
- would be unusual to see that amount in escrow, based on what the MCF values were.
- 17 **Mary Quillen:** That's true.
- 18 **Bradley Lambert:** That could be correct. It could be over. It could be under, but if we just
- take a quick look at production history and back-calculate, we can get in the ballpark, probably.
- 20 <u>Tim Scott:</u> Okay. Yes, sir. Thank you.
- 21 <u>Bill Harris:</u> Let me ask one last question. I know we did this last month, about how we are
- showing excess. I notice that the differences in red.
- 23 Charles Akers: I think the convention we were using.... I know that one is highlighted in red,
- but we were going to highlight the whole block. I thought that was...
- 25 **<u>Bill Harris:</u>** I guess I'm saying, so if EnerVest shows more than the bank, what did we decide?
- That was going to be in parenthesis, or that was going to be in...? I can't remember our
- 27 discussion from last month, but I remember we were trying to make it consistent.
- **Rick Cooper:** From what I recall, the discussion was, if there's a negative balance from the
- bank, it being red, in parenthesis.
- 30 **Bill Harris:** See, when you say a negative balance from the bank, I'm thinking, okay, what does
- 31 that mean?
- **Paul Kugelman:** The bank has less than what the operator's showing.

- Bill Harris: So, if the operator shows \$100 and the bank shows \$80, then that's going to be a
- 2 minus 20. Okay.
- 3 <u>Charles Akers:</u> Correct.
- **<u>Bill Harris:</u>** Okay. So, ignore the red, then, in this?
- **Charles Akers:** For this purpose, it was just to highlight that we didn't have the past production
- 6 information. We just wanted to bring that to note.
- **Bill Harris:** Okay.
- **Tim Scott:** Just to alert you to that, Mr. Harris.
- **Bill Harris:** Okay. Thank you. Sorry to keep bringing that up, but I get...
- **Bradley Lambert:** Mr. Akers, can we ask that you appear back before the Board, in August, to
- report back on your findings, on this? The last five years of production?
- 12 <u>Charles Akers:</u> Yes.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Is August okay?
- 14 Charles Akers: That's perfect.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Okay. Thank you. Any other questions from the Board? [No response]
- 16 Anything further, Mr. Scott?
- **Tim Scott:** That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- **Donnie Rife:** Do we need a motion, since they're coming back?
- **Bradley Lambert:** We need a motion to approve this petition, but he's going to come back with
- 21 a reconciliation of what they found in that seven years.
- **Donnie Rife:** Okay. Motion made for approval, Mr. Chair.
- **Bill Harris:** I'll second that.
- **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? [No
- 25 response All in favor signify by saying yes.
- **Board:** Yes.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Opposed no. [No response] Thank you, Mr. Scott. That is approved.

**Tim Scott:** Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

# 2 <u>Item Number 10</u>

- **Bradley Lambert:** We're calling Docket Item Number 10. A petition from EnerVest
- 4 Operating, LLC, for a Well Location Exception for Unit V-550512. This is Docket Number
- 5 VGOB-17-0620-4128. All parties wishing to testify please come forward.
- **Tim Scott:** Tim Scott, Chuck Akers and Aaron Anderson, for EnerVest Operating.
- **Bradley Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Scott.
- 8 Sarah Gilmer: Mr. Anderson, do you swear and affirm that your testimony is the truth, the
- 9 whole truth and nothing but the truth?
- **Aaron Anderson:** I do.
- **Bradley Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Scott.
- **Tim Scott:** Mr. Anderson, please state your name, by whom you are employed and your job
- description.
- 14 Aaron Anderson: I'm Aaron Anderson, employed by EnerVest Operating, LLC. I'm Associate
- 15 Landman.
- **Tim Scott:** And, you're familiar with this application. Is that correct?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.
- **Tim Scott:** And, we have set out ownership of the minerals on Exhibit B to this application. Is
- 19 that correct?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.
- **Tim Scott:** And, that is the ownership of the minerals. Is that right?
- **Aaron Anderson:** Yes.
- **Tim Scott:** Can you tell us who operates the wells, for which this well location is requested?
- **Aaron Anderson:** EnerVest Operating, LLC.
- 25 <u>Tim Scott:</u> In this particular case, EnerVest is both an owner and an operator. Is that also
- 26 correct?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That is correct.

- 1 **Tim Scott:** How was notice of this hearing provided to the parties listed on Exhibit B?
- 2 **Aaron Anderson:** By certified mail.
- 3 **Tim Scott:** Now, Mr. Chairman, we're going to go off of the rote for a second. We've had an
- 4 issue with one of the parties, respondent. Not that they've objected. It's just the fact that the
- 5 certified mailing is now showing on the United States Postal Service's website as, "In transit." I
- 6 would like to alert the Board to the fact that this particular respondent is under lease to EnerVest
- 7 in the forced pooling application that's going to be heard by the Board, today. As of yesterday,
- 8 we got six more green cards in, on one of the later applications that we filed. It's a nightmare,
- 9 dealing with the Postal Service.
- 10 **Paul Kugelman:** I know it's the same unit, for both of those.
- 11 **Tim Scott:** Yes, it's the same address.
- 12 **Paul Kugelman:** It's the same address? Okay. I just wanted to make sure, for the record, that
- we nailed that down.
- 14 **Tim Scott:** Since he's leased, we didn't notify him because he's not a B3 respondent.
- 15 **Bradley Lambert:** He is a leased party?
- 16 **Tim Scott:** Yes, sir. He is.
- 17 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay. I don't think we have an issue, there.
- 18 **Tim Scott:** That's all I have for Mr. Anderson.
- 19 **Bradley Lambert:** Any questions from the Board? [No response] You may continue, Mr.
- 20 Scott.
- 21 **Tim Scott:** Thank you. Mr. Akers, would you please state your name, by whom you are
- 22 employed and your job description, please?
- 23 Charles Akers: Yes. My name is Charles Akers. I'm a Land Manager, with EnerVest
- 24 Operating, in Abingdon, Virginia.
- 25 **Tim Scott:** And, you did participate in the preparation of this application. Is that right?
- 26 **Charles Akers:** Yes, that is correct.
- 27 **Tim Scott:** Would you please tell the Board why we are seeking a Well Location Exception,
- 28 today?

- 1 <u>Charles Akers:</u> We're seeking a Well Location Exception due to terrain and we're wanting to
- 2 maximize our development in this particular area, with our other conventional production. Also,
- at the very end, it will protect the correlative rights of the owners, in the area.
- 4 **Tim Scott:** Now, that Exhibit K, that was provided, shows the location and its relation to the
- 5 wells, from which the Well Location Exception is requested. Is that right?
- 6 **Charles Akers:** That is correct.
- 7 **Tim Scott:** In the event that we are not able to drill this well and the application isn't approved,
- 8 today, how much acreage would be stranded?
- 9 <u>Charles Akers:</u> We're calculating 98.62 acres that will be left, stranded and undeveloped.
- 10 **Tim Scott:** What's the proposed depth of this well?
- 11 **Charles Akers:** I wanted to bring note, to the Board that we had it shown as 5,434 feet, on this
- particular application, but it's actually 4,602 TBD, which would also match the pooling
- application that we have, coming up later to be heard by the Board.
- 14 **Tim Scott:** Would you explain to the Board why that's been pulled back?
- 15 Charles Akers: Recently, it's just we've shortened the depth of some of our wells, due to
- pricing, just to be more economic and cost-effective.
- 17 **Tim Scott:** But, that is the correct target depth. Is that right?
- 18 **Charles Akers:** That is the correct target depth.
- 19 **Tim Scott:** Okay. If the application isn't granted, today, what's the potential loss of reserves?
- 20 Charles Akers: 400 mcf, 450 mcf.
- 21 <u>Tim Scott:</u> You, previously, testified that the application, if approved, will protect correlative
- rights. Would it also prevent waste and promote conservation?
- 23 **Charles Akers:** That is correct. That's the intent of our application.
- 24 **Tim Scott:** That's all I have for Mr. Akers.
- 25 **Bradley Lambert:** Any questions from the Board?
- 26 **Bill Harris:** Let me just ask a quick question, just out of curiosity, because I'm just unaware of a
- lot of the things the happen. When you don't drill as deep as you initially proposed.... I'm not
- questioning that, but I'm saying when that actually happens, are you just excluding some
- 29 potential gas pocket? But, it costs more to get there. So, what do you do? You have to weigh
- 30 the return versus the investment. Is that...?

- 1 <u>Charles Akers:</u> Correct. It's a cost benefit analysis that is, generally, set by what our current
- 2 price indexes are, that's supplied to us by our corporate.
- 3 <u>Bill Harris:</u> So, you drill X-deep and there are just some that are not...
- 4 Charles Akers: It's, mostly, casing and, then, the completion costs that drive that kind of
- 5 equation of what our benefit return is.
- 6 **Bill Harris:** I was just curious.
- 7 Mary Quillen: Does this effect the horizon where you're drilling, in this case?
- 8 **Charles Akers:** No. There would be some areas that we wouldn't stimulate, due to the depth
- 9 we wouldn't complete, that would be left out.
- 10 **Bradley Lambert:** Any other questions from the Board? [No response] Anything further, Mr.
- 11 Scott?
- 12 <u>Tim Scott:</u> That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
- 13 **Bradley Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- **Rick Cooper:** I have a question, if I could? Have you revised those exhibits, to reflect those
- differences that you are talking about?
- 16 **Tim Scott:** We're going to.
- 17 **Rick Cooper:** I would request you have those in, if you could, just revise those, by Friday,
- would be the thing.
- 19 **Tim Scott:** Because the ownership shows the 5,343 and we'll change that.
- 20 **Rick Cooper:** Thank you.
- 21 <u>Tim Scott:</u> We'll get that uploaded today.
- 22 Charles Akers: The AFE that our engineers put together shows that revised depth. We were
- 23 just wanting to match that on the pooling application.
- 24 **Bradley Lambert:** Do I have a motion, reflecting submittal of revised exhibits?
- 25 **Donnie Rife:** Motion made, Mr. Chairman.
- 26 Bill Harris: Second.
- 27 **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? [No
- 28 response] All in favor signify by saying yes.

- 1 Board: Yes.
- 2 <u>Bradley Lambert:</u> Opposed no. [No response] Thank you, Mr. Scott. That one is approved.
- **Tim Scott:** Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

## 4 <u>Item Number 11</u>

- **Bradley Lambert:** We're calling Docket Item Number 11. A petition from EnerVest
- 6 Operating, LLC, for a Well Location Exception for Unit V-530341. This is Docket Number
- 7 VGOB-17-0620-4129. All parties wishing to testify please come forward.
- 8 Tim Scott: Tim Scott, Chuck Akers and Aaron Anderson, for EnerVest Operating.
- **Bradley Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Scott.
- **Tim Scott:** Mr. Anderson, again, your name, by whom you're employed and your job
- 11 description.
- **Aaron Anderson:** Aaron Anderson. I'm employed by EnerVest Operating, LLC, as an
- 13 Associate Landman.
- **Tim Scott:** And, you're familiar with this application. Is that correct?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.
- **Tim Scott:** And, you did participate in the preparation of the application. Is that also correct?
- **Aaron Anderson:** Yes.
- **Tim Scott:** And, you're familiar with the ownership of the minerals, underlying this unit?
- **Aaron Anderson:** Yes.
- **Tim Scott:** And, are those owners set out on Exhibit B to the application?
- **<u>Aaron Anderson:</u>** They are.
- **Tim Scott:** Who operates the well, from which this Well Location Exception is requested?
- **Aaron Anderson:** EnerVest Operating, LLC.
- **Tim Scott:** So, again, EnerVest is both an owner and an operator, in this particular unit. Is that
- 25 right?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.

- **Tim Scott:** And, we've provided notice to the parties listed on Exhibit B. Is that correct?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.
- **Tim Scott:** And, that was done how?
- **<u>Aaron Anderson:</u>** By certified mail.
- **Tim Scott:** And, we've provided proof of mailing to the Board. Is that right?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.
- **Tim Scott:** That's all I have for Mr. Anderson.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Mr. Anderson, does EnerVest own the adjacent wells?
- **Aaron Anderson:** Yes.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Any other questions from the Board? [No response] You may continue, Mr.
- 11 Scott.
- **Tim Scott:** Thank you. Mr. Akers, your name, again, by whom you are employed and your job
- 13 description.
- 14 <u>Charles Akers:</u> My name is Charles Thomas Akers. I'm employed by EnerVest Operating, in
- 15 Abingdon, Virginia. My title is Land Manager.
- **Tim Scott:** You helped in the preparation of this application. Is that right?
- 17 Charles Akers: That's correct.
- **Tim Scott:** Would you please explain to the Board why we're seeking a Well Location
- 19 Exception, today?
- 20 <u>Charles Akers:</u> We're seeking this exception, due to the limited terrain in the area, again, to not
- 21 strand acreage. We want to maximize our development. Then, also, to protect off-setting
- 22 correlative rights.
- **Tim Scott:** Okay. Is that location shown on Exhibit K, to the application?
- **Charles Akers:** That is correct.
- **Tim Scott:** Okay. So, what would be the acreage that would be stranded?
- **Charles Akers:** We're calculating that acreage that would be stranded at 111.31 acres.
- **Tim Scott:** So, it's just off the mark, isn't it?

- 1 Charles Akers: Correct.
- 2 <u>Tim Scott:</u> What's the proposed depth of this well?
- 3 <u>Charles Akers:</u> Proposed depth is a depth of 6,335 feet.
- 4 **Tim Scott:** Okay. What would be the potential loss of reserves, if the application is not granted,
- 5 today?
- 6 **Charles Akers:** Potential loss would be 450 mcf.
- 7 **Tim Scott:** Again, you testified that the nature of the application is to protect the correlative
- 8 rights, but also, it would prevent waste and promote conservation.
- 9 **Charles Akers:** That is correct. That is our intent.
- 10 <u>Tim Scott:</u> That's all I have for Mr. Akers.
- 11 **Bradley Lambert:** Any questions from the Board? [No response] Anything further, Mr. Scott?
- 12 **Tim Scott:** That's all I have on this one, Mr. Chairman.
- 13 **Bradley Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- 14 **Donnie Rife:** Motion made for approval, Mr. Chair.
- 15 **Bill Harris:** Second.
- 16 **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? [No
- 17 response All in favor signify by saying yes.
- 18 **Board:** Yes.
- 19 **Bradley Lambert:** Opposed no. [No response] Thank you, Mr. Scott. That one is approved.
- 20 <u>Item Number 12</u>
- 21 **Bradley Lambert:** We're calling Docket Item Number 12. A petition from EnerVest
- Operating, LLC, for a Well Location Exception for Unit V-530405. Docket Number VGOB-17-
- 23 0620-4130. All parties wishing to testify please come forward.
- 24 <u>Tim Scott:</u> Again, Tim Scott, Chuck Akers, and Aaron Anderson for EnerVest Operating.
- 25 **Bradley Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Scott.
- 26 **Tim Scott:** Thank you. Mr. Anderson, your name, by whom you are employed, and your job
- 27 description, again, please.

- 1 Aaron Anderson: Aaron Anderson. I'm employed by EnerVest Operating, LLC, as an
- 2 Associate Land Man.
- 3 <u>Tim Scott:</u> And, you're familiar with this application. Is that correct?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.
- **Tim Scott:** Are you familiar with the ownership of the minerals, underlying this unit?
- **Aaron Anderson:** Yes.
- **Tim Scott:** Are those owners set out in Exhibit B?
- **Aaron Anderson:** Yes.
- 9 <u>Tim Scott:</u> We're requesting this Well Location Exception from two wells. Who operates those
- 10 wells?
- **<u>Aaron Anderson:</u>** EnerVest Operating, LLC.
- **Tim Scott:** Again, as was the case for the last two, EnerVest is both an owner and an operator.
- 13 Is that correct?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.
- **Tim Scott:** Now, we provided notice of this hearing to the parties listed on Exhibit B. Is that
- 16 right?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.
- **Tim Scott:** How was that done?
- **<u>Aaron Anderson:</u>** By certified mail.
- **Tim Scott:** And, have we provided the proof of mailing to the Board?
- **Aaron Anderson:** Yes.
- 22 <u>Tim Scott:</u> That's all I have for Mr. Anderson.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Any questions from the Board? [No response] You may continue.
- **Tim Scott:** Mr. Akers, your name, by whom you are employed, and your job description,
- 25 please.
- 26 Charles Akers: My name is Charles T. Akers. I'm employed by EnerVest Operating. My job
- 27 description is Land Manager.

- **Tim Scott:** And, you helped in preparing this application. Is that right?
- 2 Charles Akers: That is correct, yes.
- **Tim Scott:** Would you please explain to the Board why we're seeking this Well Location
- 4 Exception?
- 5 <u>Charles Akers:</u> Again, we're requesting this exception, due to terrain and to maximize our
- 6 development and to, also, not strand reserves and to protect correlative rights.
- **Tim Scott:** What acreage would be stranded, if this application is not approved, today?
- 8 <u>Charles Akers:</u> We've, currently, calculated 101.33 acres that would be left, undeveloped.
- 9 <u>Tim Scott:</u> Okay. What's the proposed depth of this well?
- 10 Charles Akers: 6,256 feet.
- **Tim Scott:** What would be the potential loss of reserves, if the application were not granted,
- 12 today?
- 13 <u>Charles Akers:</u> 525 mcf.
- **Tim Scott:** And, you testified that your goal was to protect correlative rights. Is that right?
- **Charles Akers:** That is correct.
- **Tim Scott:** Also, would it be to prevent waste and promote conservation?
- **Charles Akers:** That is also correct.
- **Tim Scott:** That's all I have for Mr. Akers.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Any questions from the Board? [No response] Anything further?
- **Tim Scott:** That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- **Donnie Rife:** Motion made, Mr. Chairman.
- **Bill Harris:** I'll second that, for approval.
- **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? [No
- 25 response] All in favor signify by saying yes.
- **Board:** Yes.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Opposed no. [No response] Thank you, Mr. Scott. That one is approved.

**Tim Scott:** Thank you.

# 2 <u>Item Number 13</u>

- **Bradley Lambert:** We're calling Docket Item Number 13. A petition from EnerVest
- 4 Operating, LLC, for pooling of Well No. V-530399. Docket Number VGOB-17-0620-4131. All
- 5 parties wishing to testify please come forward.
- **Tim Scott:** Tim Scott, Chuck Akers, and Aaron Anderson, for EnerVest Operating.
- **Bradley Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Scott.
- 8 Tim Scott: Thank you. Mr. Anderson, again, your name, by whom you're employed, and your
- 9 job description.
- **Aaron Anderson:** Aaron Anderson. I'm employed by EnerVest Operating, LLC, as an
- 11 Associate Land Man.
- **Tim Scott:** So, in this particular case, we're not only seeking to pool; we're seeking to establish
- a unit. Is that right?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.
- **Tim Scott:** How many acres is this unit going to contain?
- **Aaron Anderson:** 112.69.
- **Tim Scott:** And, EnerVest has a substantial portion of this proposed unit, under lease. Correct?
- **<u>Aaron Anderson:</u>** That's correct.
- **Tim Scott:** Are we going to dismiss any parties, respondent, listed on Exhibit B3, today?
- **Aaron Anderson:** No, sir.
- **Tim Scott:** Have you attempted to reach an agreement with those parties listed on Exhibit B3?
- **Aaron Anderson:** We have.
- **Tim Scott:** What percentage of the unit does EnerVest have under lease, presently?
- **<u>Aaron Anderson:</u>** 68.15%.
- 25 <u>Tim Scott:</u> And, we provided notice of this hearing to the parties listed on Exhibit B3. Is that
- 26 right?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.

- **Tim Scott:** How was that done?
- **<u>Aaron Anderson:</u>** By certified mail.
- **<u>Tim Scott:</u>** And, have you provided proof of this mailing, to the Board?
- **Aaron Anderson:** We have.
- 5 <u>Tim Scott:</u> Are there any unknown or unlocatable parties, respondent?
- **Aaron Anderson:** No, sir.
- **Tim Scott:** EnerVest is authorized to conduct business in the Commonwealth. Is that right?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.
- **Tim Scott:** And, there is a blanket bond on file?
- **<u>Aaron Anderson:</u>** Yes.
- **Tim Scott:** Now, if you were able to reach an agreement with the parties listed on Exhibit B3,
- what lease terms would you offer?
- **Aaron Anderson:** \$25 per acre, for a 5-year paid up lease, with a 1/8 royalty.
- **Tim Scott:** And, do you consider that to be reasonable compensation for a lease in this area?
- **Aaron Anderson:** Yes.
- **Tim Scott:** And, what percentage of the unit is EnerVest seeking to pool, today?
- **Aaron Anderson:** 31.85%.
- **Tim Scott:** Now, we do have an escrow requirement for this one. Is that right?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.
- **Tim Scott:** And, what's the reason for that, Mr. Anderson?
- **Aaron Anderson:** There is a conflict between the two parties, due to an agreement between
- 22 them.
- **Tim Scott:** As to whether or not the tract is under lease? Is that right?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.
- **Tim Scott:** So, they're both listed as parties on Exhibit E. Is that right?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.

- **Tim Scott:** What percentage of the unit does this comprise?
- **Aaron Anderson:** .59.
- **Tim Scott:** Now, you're asking the Board to pool the parties listed on Exhibit B3. Is that
- 4 correct?
- **Aaron Anderson:** Yes.
- **Tim Scott:** And, that EnerVest be named the operator for this unit?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.
- **Tim Scott:** When we send out election letters, or the orders for making elections, if the Board
- 9 grants our application, today, what address would be used for making any elections?
- 10 Aaron Anderson: 408 W. Main St; Abingdon, VA 24210. Attn: Chuck Akers, Land Manager
- **Tim Scott:** You all are not using the P.O. Box, any longer. Is that right?
- **Aaron Anderson:** We are no longer using the P.O. Box.
- **Tim Scott:** Would this be the address for all communications, with regard to a pooling order?
- **Aaron Anderson:** Yes, sir.
- **Tim Scott:** Okay. That's all I have for Mr. Anderson.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Any questions from the Board? [No response] You may continue, Mr.
- 17 Scott.
- **Tim Scott:** Thank you. Mr. Akers, your name, by whom you're employed, and your job
- 19 description, please.
- 20 Charles Akers: My name is Charles Akers. I am Land Manager, with EnerVest Operating, in
- 21 Abingdon, Virginia.
- **Tim Scott:** Now, I believe you participated in the preparation of this application. Is that right?
- **Charles Akers:** That is correct.
- **Tim Scott:** And, you also assisted in the preparation of the AFE. Is that also correct?
- **Charles Akers:** That is correct.
- **Tim Scott:** Are you familiar with the total proposed depth of this well?
- **Charles Akers:** Yes, it's 5,388 feet.

- **Tim Scott:** What are the estimated reserves of this unit?
- **Charles Akers:** The estimated reserves are 525 Mcf.
- 3 <u>Tim Scott:</u> Are you also familiar with the well cost, for this unit?
- 4 Charles Akers: Yes, I am.
- **Tim Scott:** What's the proposed estimated dry hole cost?
- **Charles Akers:** The estimated dry hole cost, for this well, is \$233,900.
- **Tim Scott:** What's the estimated completed well cost?
- **Charles Akers:** \$498,450.
- **Tim Scott:** And, that's reflected on the AFE. Is that right?
- 10 <u>Charles Akers:</u> That is correct, yes.
- **Tim Scott:** So, in your opinion, if the Board grants our application, today, would it protect
- correlative rights, prevent waste, and promote conservation?
- 13 Charles Akers: Yes.
- **Tim Scott:** That's all I have for Mr. Akers.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Any questions from the Board?
- **Bill Harris:** Mr. Chairman, I think we had a comment, once before, about the quality of the
- AFE copy that we get, that we can't read all of the numbers that's there. I'm not sure.... This is
- on Page 17, of 19. I think this may have been brought up, before. I'm not sure who does the
- scanning and how that's relayed.
- **Tim Scott:** I think what we're doing, Mr. Harris, I think this is one of the last bad ones.
- **Bill Harris:** Yes. Okay, because I remember a month or two ago, that we...
- 22 <u>Tim Scott:</u> I think we've got the problem corrected, now.
- **Bill Harris:** Okay.
- **Tim Scott:** I can't read it, either. It takes a magnifying glass, to see it. I think we've got it
- straightened out. I think the ones that are coming up, for July, those are easy to read.
- **Bill Harris:** They'll be better clarity.
- **Tim Scott:** Yes, sir.

- 1 **Bill Harris:** Okay. Thank you. That was it, Mr. Chairman.
- 2 **Bradley Lambert:** Any other questions? [No response] Anything further, Mr. Scott?
- 3 <u>Tim Scott:</u> That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
- 4 **Bradley Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- 5 **Donnie Rife:** Motion made for approval, Mr. Chair.
- 6 **Bill Harris:** Second.
- 7 **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and a second. Any further discussion? [No response] All in
- 8 favor signify by saying yes.
- 9 **Board:** Yes.
- 10 **Bradley Lambert:** Opposed no. [No response] Thank you, Mr. Scott. That one is approved.

## 11 <u>Item Number 14</u>

- 12 **Bradley Lambert:** We're calling Docket Item Number 14. A petition from EnerVest
- Operating, LLC, for pooling of Unit V-550512. Docket Number VGOB-17-0620-4132. All
- parties wishing to testify please come forward.
- 15 <u>Tim Scott:</u> Tim Scott, Chuck Akers, and Aaron Anderson, for EnerVest Operating.
- 16 **Bradley Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Scott.
- 17 **Tim Scott:** Mr. Anderson, again, your name, by whom you're employed, and your job
- 18 description.
- 19 **Aaron Anderson:** I'm Aaron Anderson, employed by EnerVest Operating, LLC, as an
- 20 Associate Land Man.
- 21 <u>Tim Scott:</u> In this particular situation, again, we're asking not only for pooling, but we asking
- for the establishment of a unit. Is that right?
- 23 **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.
- 24 **Tim Scott:** Again, how many acres will this unit contain?
- 25 **<u>Aaron Anderson:</u>** 112.69.
- **Tim Scott:** And, EnerVest has a significant portion of this unit under lease, presently. Is that
- 27 right?

- **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.
- 2 <u>Tim Scott:</u> Are we going to release any respondents, or dismiss any respondents listed on
- 3 Exhibit B3?
- **Aaron Anderson:** No, sir.
- **Tim Scott:** Have you attempted to reach an agreement with those parties, respondent?
- **Aaron Anderson:** Yes.
- 7 <u>Tim Scott:</u> Your leasing efforts are ongoing. Is that, also, correct?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.
- 9 <u>Tim Scott:</u> What percentage of the unit does EnerVest have under lease, presently?
- **Aaron Anderson:** 86.27.
- **Tim Scott:** And, we provided notice of this hearing to those parties listed on B3. Did we not?
- **<u>Aaron Anderson:</u>** We did.
- **Tim Scott:** How was that done?
- **<u>Aaron Anderson:</u>** By certified mail.
- **Tim Scott:** Have we provided proof of our mailing, to the Board?
- **<u>Aaron Anderson:</u>** We have.
- 17 <u>Tim Scott:</u> Do we have any unknown owners, in this unit?
- **Aaron Anderson:** No, sir.
- **Tim Scott:** Okay. Again, is EnerVest authorized to conduct business in the Commonwealth?
- **Aaron Anderson:** Yes.
- **Tim Scott:** And, is there a blanket bond on file?
- **Aaron Anderson:** Yes.
- **Tim Scott:** If you were to reach an agreement with those parties listed on Exhibit B3, what lease
- 24 terms would you offer?
- **Aaron Anderson:** \$25 per acre for a 5-year paid up lease, with a 1/8 royalty
- **Tim Scott:** And, do you consider that to be fair compensation for a lease in this area?

- 1 Aaron Anderson: Yes, sir.
- 2 <u>Tim Scott:</u> What percentage of the unit is EnerVest seeking to pool?
- 3 **Aaron Anderson:** 13.73.
- 4 **Tim Scott:** And, we do not have an escrow requirement. Is that right?
- 5 **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.
- 6 **Tim Scott:** So, you are asking the Board to pool the parties listed on Exhibit B3 and, also, for
- 7 EnerVest to be named the operator. Is that right?
- 8 **Aaron Anderson:** Yes, sir.
- 9 **Tim Scott:** And, we send out this order, if our application is granted, what would be the address
- 10 for any correspondence that would be used, regarding any elections that are made?
- 11 Aaron Anderson: 408 W. Main St.; Abingdon, VA 24210. Attn: Chuck Akers, Land Manager
- 12 **Tim Scott:** And, that should be the address for all communications, regarding any potential
- order. Is that right?
- 14 Aaron Anderson: Yes, sir.
- 15 **Tim Scott:** That's all I have for Mr. Anderson.
- 16 **Bradley Lambert:** Any questions from the Board? [No response] You may continue, Mr.
- 17 Scott.
- 18 **Tim Scott:** Mr. Akers, one more time, your name, by whom you're employed, and your job
- 19 description.
- 20 Charles Akers: My name is Charles Akers, Land Manager with EnerVest Operating, located in
- 21 Abingdon, Virginia.
- 22 **Tim Scott:** And, we had previously, asked for a Well Location Exception for this particular
- unit. Is that right?
- 24 **Charles Akers:** That's correct.
- 25 **Tim Scott:** At which time, you testified to the depth. Can you please testify as to the depth,
- 26 again, please?
- 27 **Charles Akers:** Yes, the estimated depth will be 4,602 feet.
- 28 **Tim Scott:** And, that's reflected on the AFE, also. Is that right?

- 1 Charles Akers: That's correct. Yes.
- 2 <u>Tim Scott:</u> Are you also familiar with the estimated reserves, for this unit?
- 3 Charles Akers: Yes, I am. 450 Mcf.
- **Tim Scott:** And, you participated in the preparation of the AFE. Is that right?
- **Charles Akers:** Yes, I did.
- **Tim Scott:** So, you have knowledge of it?
- 7 Charles Akers: Yes.
- **<u>Tim Scott:</u>** Are you familiar with the well cost, for the proposed well?
- **Charles Akers:** Yes, the estimated dry hole cost, for this well, is \$237,900.
- **Tim Scott:** What's the estimated completed well cost?
- 11 <u>Charles Akers:</u> \$487,500.
- **Tim Scott:** In your opinion, if our application is granted, today, would it prevent waste, promote
- conservation, and protect correlative rights?
- **Charles Akers:** Yes.
- 15 <u>Tim Scott:</u> Okay. That's all I have for Mr. Akers.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Any questions from the Board? [No response] Anything further, Mr. Scott?
- 17 <u>Tim Scott:</u> That's all I have for this one, Mr. Chairman.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- **Donnie Rife:** Motion made for approval, Mr. Chair.
- **Bill Harris:** Second.
- **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? [No
- response All in favor signify by saying yes.
- **Board:** Yes.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Opposed no. [No response] Thank you, Mr. Scott. That is approved.

#### <u>Item Number 15</u>

- 2 **Bradley Lambert:** We're now calling Docket Item Number 15. A petition from EnerVest
- 3 Operating, LLC, for pooling of Unit VC-531514. Docket Number VGOB-17-0620-4133. All
- 4 parties wishing to testify please come forward.
- 5 <u>Tim Scott:</u> Tim Scott, Chuck Akers, and Aaron Anderson, for EnerVest Operating.
- 6 **Bradley Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Scott.
- 7 <u>Tim Scott:</u> I think Mr. Anderson will be passing out some revised exhibits. We had some
- 8 success in leasing efforts, since the application was made. I think these have been uploaded. If
- 9 they're not, we'll do it, this afternoon. Okay, Mr. Anderson, you've passed out the revised
- 10 exhibits. Is that correct?
- 11 **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.
- 12 **Tim Scott:** And, again, for the record, your name, by whom you're employed, and your job
- description.

1

- 14 **Aaron Anderson:** Aaron Anderson. I'm employed by EnerVest Operating, LLC, as an
- 15 Associate Land Man.
- 16 **Tim Scott:** In this particular case, we're just seeking a pooling because this is already an
- established unit. Is that right?
- 18 **Aaron Anderson:** That is correct.
- 19 **Tim Scott:** How many acres does this unit contain?
- 20 **Aaron Anderson:** 58.77.
- 21 **Tim Scott:** Is it located in the Nora Coalbed Gas Field? Is that right?
- 22 **Aaron Anderson:** It is.
- 23 **Tim Scott:** And, EnerVest does have drilling rights in this unit. Is that right?
- 24 **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.
- 25 **Tim Scott:** Since the time we made application, you all have obtained, at least, one lease. Is
- 26 that correct?
- 27 **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.
- 28 **Tim Scott:** And, who is that from?

- **Aaron Anderson:** It's in Tract 9. It's Wanda Joyce Staunton.
- 2 <u>Tim Scott:</u> So, you're asking the Board to dismiss her from this application, today?
- **Aaron Anderson:** Yes, sir.
- **Tim Scott:** Now, we notified a number of people, on this particular application. How was
- 5 notice provided to the Board?
- **Aaron Anderson:** By certified mail, with return receipt requested.
- **Tim Scott:** And, have we provided proof of mailing to the Board?
- **Aaron Anderson:** Yes.
- **Tim Scott:** And, we also provided notice in an additional way. Is that right?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That's right. We noticed, by publication in the Bluefield Daily Telegraph on
- 11 May 26, 2017.
- **Tim Scott:** And, we have unknowns in this unit. Is that right?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That is correct.
- **Tim Scott:** And, those parties are listed on Exhibit E. Is that right?
- **<u>Aaron Anderson:</u>** Yes.
- **Tim Scott:** Now, again, is EnerVest authorized to conduct business in the Commonwealth?
- **Aaron Anderson:** Yes.
- **Tim Scott:** And, there is a blanket bond on file. Is that right?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That is correct.
- **Tim Scott:** And, if you were able to reach an agreement with those parties listed on Exhibit B3,
- 21 what terms would you offer?
- **Aaron Anderson:** \$25 per acre for a 5-year paid up lease, with a 1/8 royalty
- **Tim Scott:** Do you believe that's a fair price for a lease in this area?
- **<u>Aaron Anderson:</u>** Yes.
- 25 <u>Tim Scott:</u> What percentage does EnerVest have under lease, presently?
- **Aaron Anderson:** 85.86090278.

- 1 <u>Tim Scott:</u> And, that percentage also includes tracts in which EnerVest is the fee simple owner
- 2 of the minerals. Is that right?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.
- **<u>Tim Scott:</u>** So, what percentage are you seeking to pool, today?
- **<u>Aaron Anderson:</u>** 14.13909722.
- **Tim Scott:** And, we indicated that we have unknowns. Is that right?
- **Aaron Anderson:** Yes.
- **Tim Scott:** So, we have an escrow requirement?
- **<u>Aaron Anderson:</u>** We do.
- **Tim Scott:** And, the basis for that is what? Unknown owners?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.
- 12 <u>Tim Scott:</u> Which tracts are involved, for escrow?
- **Aaron Anderson:** Tracts 9 and 11.
- **Tim Scott:** And, what's the total percentage of the unit that would be affected?
- **<u>Aaron Anderson:</u>** 1.35375.
- **Tim Scott:** And, you've provided to Mr. Cooper your attempts to locate these people. Is that
- 17 right?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.
- **Tim Scott:** Are you requesting the Board to pool the unleased parties listed on Exhibit B3?
- **Aaron Anderson:** Yes.
- **Tim Scott:** And, that EnerVest be named the operator of this unit. Is that right?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That is correct.
- **Tim Scott:** In the event that the Board grants our application and the orders are sent out for
- 24 making elections, what address would be used for making any elections, under an order entered
- by the Board?
- 26 Aaron Anderson: 408 W. Main St; Abingdon, VA 24210. Attn: Chuck Akers, Land Manager.
- **Tim Scott:** And, would this be the address for all communications?

- 1 Aaron Anderson: Yes.
- 2 **Tim Scott:** That's all I have for Mr. Anderson.
- 3 **Bradley Lambert:** Any questions from the Board? [No response] You may continue, Mr.
- 4 Scott.
- 5 **Tim Scott:** Thank you. Mr. Akers, one more time, your name, by whom you're employed, and
- 6 your job description, please.
- 7 Charles Akers: My name is Charles Akers. I'm a Land Manager, with EnerVest Operating, in
- 8 Abingdon, Virginia.
- 9 **Tim Scott:** And, you helped in preparing this application. Is that right?
- 10 Charles Akers: That is correct.
- 11 <u>Tim Scott:</u> So, you're familiar with the proposed well depth. Is that right?
- 12 **Charles Akers:** Yes, I am. It's 3,347 feet.
- 13 **Tim Scott:** And, you also helped with the AFE. Is that also correct?
- 14 **Charles Akers:** That is correct.
- 15 **Tim Scott:** So, you're familiar with the estimated reserves and with the cost of this well?
- 16 Charles Akers: Yes, I am. The estimated reserves that we are expecting are 525 Mcf. And, the
- estimated dry hole cost is \$158,400, with the estimated completed well cost at \$486,600.
- 18 **Tim Scott:** In your opinion, if the Board grants our application, would it prevent waste, promote
- 19 conservation, and protect correlative rights?
- 20 Charles Akers: Yes.
- 21 **Tim Scott:** That's all I have for Mr. Akers.
- 22 **Bradley Lambert:** Questions from the Board? [No response] Anything further, Mr. Scott?
- 23 **Tim Scott:** That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
- 24 **Bradley Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- 25 **Donnie Rife:** Motion made for approval, Mr. Chair.
- 26 **Bill Harris:** Second.

- 1 **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and a second. Any further discussion? [No response] All in
- 2 favor signify by saying yes.
- 3 **Board:** Yes.
- 4 **Bradley Lambert:** Opposed no. [No response] Thank you, Mr. Scott. That is approved.
- 5 **Tim Scott:** Thank you.

## 6 <u>Item Number 16</u>

- 7 **Rick Cooper:** Are you going to report on your "No W-9's?" Did you want to report to the
- 8 Board?
- 9 Aaron Anderson: Yes. I know Mr. Ratliff had asked, a couple meetings ago, what the status of
- our "No W-9's," that were approved for disbursement. I guess I was going to report back to what
- we had done and what some of our successes have been. As of our last hearing, there was 249
- individuals that had not submitted a W-9 to the bank, to receive their check. So, we followed up
- with a subsequent mailing to those individuals. Some of them were individuals that showed up
- twice in an application. From that, 38 people have responded. Those 38 people made up
- \$39,386 that was able to be disbursed, by the bank, which was 45% of the monies that had been
- 16 undistributed, at that time.
- Donnie Rife: What was the percentage of 38 people, that was notified?
- **Aaron Anderson:** 249 individual accounts and there's 38 of those, so we were showing, like,
- 19 3.2% had responded to that last mailing.
- 20 **Donnie Rife:** Did you send me one, by any chance?
- 21 **Aaron Anderson:** No, but we're continuing on those efforts and we'll report back, at the next
- hearing, about any more that we receive.
- 23 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay, folks. We appreciate it. Have a good afternoon.
- 24 **Tim Scott:** Thank you.
- 25 **Bradley Lambert:** Mr. Cooper.
- 26 **Rick Cooper:** I just wanted to give an update on the disbursements. This fiscal year, we're
- working on, now, we've disbursed \$5,822,000. Since the coal dismissal bill came forward, we
- have disbursed \$12,800,545, which turns out to be just a little over 10,000 checks that have been
- written, to make that disbursement.
- 30 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay.

- 1 Rick Cooper: I will report to the Board, next month. Our Virginia Gas and Oil Board
- 2 regulations are in the process of being approved. They become effective on July 27, so at the
- a next Board hearing, I'll give you a highlight of what the new requirements are.
- 4 **Bradley Lambert:** Mr. Kugelman, can you give us an update on Yellow Poplar?
- 5 **Paul Kugelman:** Yes, sir, I can. I'm happy to do it.
- 6 **Bradley Lambert:** Thank you.
- 7 Paul Kugelman: Last time I think I spoke to the Board about this, the parties had reached an
- 8 agreement and it was subject to approval by the court. The court has approved, I think it was just
- 9 about ten days ago, the proposed settlement. I have forwarded a copy of the order to Mr.
- Lambert and Mr. Cooper. I've talked with Tim Scott, who represents, before the Board, the
- company involved in the Yellow Poplar, who would be filing the petitions for disbursement. It
- looks like everything is moving along pretty smoothly. They're preparing their petitions for
- disbursement. They couldn't have them ready in time for the August meeting because the
- deadline is today, I believe. When's the deadline?
- 15 **Rick Cooper:** For the July meeting?
- 16 **Paul Kugelman:** For the July meeting. I'm sorry. I'm already in July. It's June. For the July
- meeting. So, they anticipate having them in, in time for the August meeting, which would mean
- that the disbursements would be handled, unless there's something unusual, administratively, by
- the Gas and Oil Division. We anticipate, I think if I remember right, a little north of \$4,000,000
- will be disbursed.
- 21 **Donnie Rife:** What did you say the amount was?
- 22 **Rick Cooper:** The last time we calculated, it was \$4.3 million. That's about 62 accounts.
- 23 **Paul Kugelman:** So, once we get the disbursements done, that should wind Yellow Poplar
- down. The only anticipated problems would be if there were some objection, which would
- require Mr. Cooper to elevate it to the Board, but quite simply, since there's a court order, the
- Board's hands are tied. So, it will be a very short hearing, if anybody objects to anything.
- 27 **Donnie Rife:** Do you know how the disbursements went? How much went to such-and-such?
- 28 **Paul Kugelman:** Do you have a copy?
- 29 **Rick Cooper:** I didn't hear the question.
- 30 **Paul Kugelman:** He wanted to know how much was going to each. I can't remember the
- 31 percentages. There were three tracts of land, that were involved in the disbursements. The

- agreed percentage disbursements varied, for each tract, but the parties were, basically,
- 2 Weyerhaeuser, which bought over Yellow Poplar, I think.
- 3 **Bradley Lambert:** Yes.
- 4 **Paul Kugelman:** And, then, there's the bankruptcy estate for Yellow Poplar, from 1929, as one
- 5 of the parties.
- 6 **Donnie Rife:** So, that goes to heirship? Is that what you're talking about?
- 7 Paul Kugelman: They're going to figure all that out, so we're going to disburse it to the trustee,
- 8 and the trustee.... It takes it out of the Board's hands and the trustee's going to run all that down.
- 9 **Donnie Rife:** So, you're basically looking at Range, heirship, and Weyerhaeuser.
- 10 Paul Kugelman: Yes, sir.
- Donnie Rife: Okay. When they receive the assets, they also inherit the liability and tax base.
- 12 Right?
- 13 **Paul Kugelman:** I don't understand what you mean.
- Donnie Rife: There's got to be some taxes owed on this money, to the counties, such as
- 15 Dickenson, Buchanan County.
- 16 **Paul Kugelman:** Oh, I see what you mean. Correct. Yes, the person receiving the royalties is
- 17 responsible for...
- **Donnie Rife:** That's what I wanted to hear.
- 19 Paul Kugelman: Okay. Yes, sir.
- 20 Mary Quillen: Who is the trustee for...?
- 21 **Paul Kugelman:** It's appointed by the US Bankruptcy Court for the Western District of
- Virginia. I think it's John Lamie. I don't know if he's Counsel for the trustee, right now, or he's
- actually the trustee. He's serving as trustee? So, it's Mr. John Lamie.
- 24 **Donnie Rife:** I think they're in the process of trying to run down all the heirship people.
- 25 **Paul Kugelman:** They're running some advertisements, to try to figure out who's who and, how
- 26 that all works out in the end, I do not know. Despite my wanting to avoid bankruptcy law, I've
- been dragged through it a good bit, lately.
- **Donnie Rife:** That's what I needed to know.

- 1 **Bradley Lambert:** There's a possibility that the Board is to disburse the funds when we receive
- 2 the petitions. That's what we're waiting on.
- 3 **Rick Cooper:** Mr. Chairman, is that a motion you all vote on, or are we just assuming that we
- 4 do that, internally? I guess I'm asking that question.
- 5 **Donnie Rife:** When it becomes a court order, I think, like you said, we're just basically, out of
- 6 the picture. It's just a nod. It's just a common courtesy thing that they notify us, isn't it?
- 7 **Bradley Lambert:** No, it will have to come before either the Board or the Board can grant Mr.
- 8 Cooper the ability to disburse, based upon the court order. If we want to do that, today, we can.
- 9 This Board can vote, today, to go ahead and allow Mr. Cooper to act upon those disbursements,
- once the petitions are received, based upon the court order that's already been issued.
- Donnie Rife: I'll put that as the form of a motion, Mr. Chair.
- 12 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay.
- 13 **Bill Harris:** I'll go ahead and second that.
- 14 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay. I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion about
- 15 that?
- Mary Quillen: You know, we've been involved in this for such a long time and, you know,
- we've looked at Yellow Poplar and all of the discussion and everything. When these final
- approval and disbursement takes place, I would like to see that come before the Board, at the
- 19 time that it's done.
- 20 **Bradley Lambert:** That will be 62 petitions that we'll have to address.
- 21 **Donnie Rife:** Yes.
- 22 **Bradley Lambert:** And, they'll all be, pretty much, the same.
- 23 Mary Quillen: I don't mean.... I mean...
- 24 **Paul Kugelman:** Do you just want a report?
- 25 **Bradley Lambert:** We can have a report. Is that what you're saying?
- 26 **Mary Quillen:** Yes.
- 27 **Bradley Lambert:** Oh, we would expect Mr. Cooper to give a report on it.
- 28 Mary Quillen: Yes.
- 29 **Rick Cooper:** Consider that accomplished, Ms. Quillen.

- 1 Mary Quillen: I just think that's something that we've dealt with for such a long time, I think it
- 2 should have some kind of recognition.
- **Bradley Lambert:** We would agree.
- **Donnie Rife:** It's going to feel like you had a nail took out of your foot.
- **Mary Quillen:** Yes.
- **Bradley Lambert:** So, we have a motion and a second to allow Mr. Cooper to go ahead and
- 7 disburse, based upon the petitions received, as per the court order, with also, the stipulation that
- 8 Mr. Cooper report back to the Board, monthly, on progress.
- **Donnie Rife:** Motion made, Mr. Chair.
- **Bill Harris:** I'll second that amended motion.
- **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and we have a second. Any further discussion? [No
- response] All in favor signify by saying yes.
- **Board:** Yes.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Opposed no. [No response]
- 15 <u>Item Number 17</u>
- **Bradley Lambert:** Okay, the last thing on our Docket is the approval of our May minutes. Do
- we have any corrections, additions? [No response] If not, I'll ask for approval of the minutes.
- **Donnie Rife:** Motion made for approval as presented Mr. Chair.
- **Bill Harris:** I'll second that.
- **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and a second. All in favor signify by saying yes.
- **Board:** Yes.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Opposed no. [No response] I have a motion to dismiss. We are dismissed.